arXiv:1408.5652v4 [math.NT] 8 Jun 2016

Theory of Bessel Functions of High Rank - I: Fundamental Bessel Functions

Zhi Qi

Abstract. In this article we introduce a new category of special functions called *fundamental Bessel functions* arising from the Voronoï summation formula for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. The fundamental Bessel functions of rank one and two are the oscillatory exponential functions $e^{\pm ix}$ and the classical Bessel functions respectively. The main implements and subjects of our study of fundamental Bessel functions are their formal integral representations and Bessel equations.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Background 2
1.2. Outline of article 6
2. Preliminaries on Bessel functions 9
2.1. The definition of the Bessel function $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ 10
2.2. The formal integral representation of $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ 11
2.3. The classical cases 12
2.4. A prototypical example 14
3. The rigorous interpretation of formal integral representations 15
3.1. Formal partial integration operators 16
3.2. Partitioning the integral $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ 18
3.3. The definition of $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ 18
4. Equality between $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ and $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ 20
5. H-Bessel functions and K-Bessel functions 22
5.1. Estimates for $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ with $\boldsymbol{\varrho}\neq\mathbf{0}$ 23
5.2. Rapid decay of K-Bessel functions 24
5.3. Asymptotic expansions of H-Bessel functions 25
5.4. Concluding remarks 28
6. Recurrence formulae and differential equations for Bessel functions 30
6.1. The recurrence formulae 30
6.2. The differential equations 30
6.3. Calculations of the coefficients in the differential equations 32

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33E20, 33E30.

Key words and phrases. fundamental Bessel functions, formal integral representations, Bessel equations.

6.4. Conclusion 34
7. Bessel equations 35
7.1. Bessel functions of the first kind 36
7.2. The analytic continuation of $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ 38
7.3. Asymptotics for Bessel equations and Bessel functions of the second kind 40
7.4. Error analysis for asymptotic expansions 46
8. Connections between various types of Bessel functions 52
8.1. Relations between $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ 52
8.2. Relations connecting the two kinds of Bessel functions 53
9. H-Bessel functions and K-Bessel functions, II 54
9.1. Asymptotic expansions of H-Bessel functions 55
9.2. Exponential decay of K-Bessel functions 55
9.3. The asymptotic of the Bessel kernel $J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}$ 55
Appendix A. An alternative approach to asymptotic expansions 56
References 57

1. Introduction

1.1. Background. *Hankel transforms* (of high rank) are introduced as an important constituent of the *Voronoï summation formula* by Miller and Schmid in [**MS1, MS3, MS4**]. This summation formula is a fundamental analytic tool in number theory and has its roots in representation theory.

In this article, we shall develop the analytic theory of *fundamental Bessel functions*[I]. These Bessel functions constitute the integral kernels of Hankel transforms. Thus, to motivate our study, we shall start with introducing Hankel transforms and their number theoretic and representation theoretic background.

1.1.1. *Two expressions of a Hankel transform.* Let n be a positive integer, and let $(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta}) = (\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n,\delta_1,...,\delta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$.

The first expression of the Hankel transform of rank n associated with $(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})$ is based on signed Mellin transforms as follows.

Let $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the space of Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{R}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $j \in \mathbb{N} = \{0,1,2,...\}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, let υ be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^\times = \mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \{0\}$ such that $\mathrm{sgn}(x)^\eta (\log|x|)^{-j}|x|^{-\lambda}\upsilon(x) \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$. For $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, the *signed Mellin transform* $\mathcal{M}_\delta\upsilon$ *with order* δ of υ is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_\delta\upsilon(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} \upsilon(x)\mathrm{sgn}(x)^\delta |x|^s d^\times x. \tag{1.1}$$

[I]The Bessel functions studied here are called *fundamental* in order to be distinguished from the Bessel functions for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. The latter should be regarded as the foundation of harmonic analysis on $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Some evidences show that fundamental Bessel functions are actually the building blocks of the Bessel functions for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. See [**Qi2**, §3.2] for $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ (and $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$).

Throughout this article, we shall drop the adjective *fundamental* for brevity. Moreover, the usual Bessel functions will be referred to as classical Bessel functions.

Here $d^\times x = |x|^{-1}dx$ is the standard multiplicative Haar measure on $\mathbb{R}^\times$. The Mellin inversion formula is

$$\upsilon(x) = \sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{sgn}(x)^\delta}{4\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)} \mathcal{M}_\delta\upsilon(s)|x|^{-s}ds, \quad \sigma > -\Re\mathfrak{e}\,\lambda,$$

where the contour of integration (σ) is the vertical line from $\sigma - i\infty$ to $\sigma + i\infty$.

Let $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^\times)$ denote the space of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^\times$ whose derivatives are rapidly decreasing at both zero and infinity. We associate with $\upsilon \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^\times)$ a function Υ on $\mathbb{R}^\times$ satisfying the following two identities

$$\mathcal{M}_\delta\Upsilon(s) = \left(\prod_{l=1}^n G_{\delta_l+\delta}(s-\lambda_l)\right)\mathcal{M}_\delta\upsilon(1-s), \quad \delta\in\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $G_\delta(s)$ denotes the gamma factor

$$G_\delta(s) = i^\delta\pi^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(s+\delta)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-s+\delta)\right)} = \begin{cases} 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)\cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right), & \text{if } \delta = 0,\\ 2i(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)\sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right), & \text{if } \delta = 1,\end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where for the second equality we apply the duplication formula and Euler's reflection formula of the Gamma function,

$$\Gamma(1-s)\Gamma(s) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi s)}, \quad \Gamma(s)\Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right) = 2^{1-2s}\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2s).$$

Υ is called the *Hankel transform of index* $(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})$ of υ[II]. According to [**MS3**, §6], Υ is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^\times$ and decays rapidly at infinity, along with all its derivatives. At the origin, Υ has singularities of some very particular type. Indeed, $\Upsilon(x) \in \sum_{l=1}^n |x|^{-\lambda_l}\mathrm{sgn}(x)^{\delta_l}\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ when no two components of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ differ by an integer, and in the nongeneric case powers of $\log|x|$ are included.

By the Mellin inversion,

$$\Upsilon(x) = \sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{sgn}(x)^\delta}{4\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)} \left(\prod_{l=1}^n G_{\delta_l+\delta}(s-\lambda_l)\right)\mathcal{M}_\delta\upsilon(1-s)|x|^{-s}ds, \tag{1.4}$$

for $\sigma > \max\{\Re\mathfrak{e}\,\lambda_l\}$.

In [**MS4**] there is an alternative description of Υ defined by the *Fourier type transform*, in symbolic notion, as follows

$$\Upsilon(x) = \frac{1}{|x|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\times n}} \upsilon\left(\frac{x_1\ldots x_n}{x}\right)\left(\prod_{l=1}^n \left(\mathrm{sgn}(x_l)^{\delta_l}|x_l|^{-\lambda_l}e(x_l)\right)\right)dx_n dx_{n-1}\ldots dx_1, \tag{1.5}$$

with $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$. The integral in (1.5) converges when performed as iterated integral in the order $dx_n dx_{n-1}\ldots dx_1$, starting from x_n, then x_{n-1}, ..., and finally x_1, provided $\Re\mathfrak{e}\,\lambda_1 > \ldots > \Re\mathfrak{e}\,\lambda_{n-1} > \Re\mathfrak{e}\,\lambda_n$, and it has meaning for arbitrary values of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathbb{C}^n$ by analytic continuation.

[II]Note that if υ is the f in [**MS4**] then $|x|\Upsilon((-)^n x)$ is their $F(x)$.

According to [**MS4**], though *less suggestive than* (1.5), the expression (1.4) of Hankel transforms is *more useful in applications*. Indeed, all the applications of the Voronoï summation formula in analytic number theory so far are based on (1.4) with exclusive use of Stirling's asymptotic formula of the Gamma function (see Appendix A). On the other hand, there is no occurrence of the Fourier type integral transform (1.5) in the literature other than Miller and Schmid's foundational work. It will however be shown in this article that the expression (1.5) should *not* be of only aesthetic interest.

Assumption. *Subsequently, we shall always assume that the index* $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ *satisfies* $\sum_{l=1}^{n}\lambda_l = 0$[III]. *Accordingly, we define the complex hyperplane* $\mathbb{L}^{n-1} = \{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{l=1}^{n}\lambda_l = 0\}$.

1.1.2. *Background of Hankel transforms in number theory and representation theory.* For $n = 1$, the number theoretic background lies on the local theory in Tate's thesis at the real place. Actually, in view of (1.5), the Hankel transform of rank one and index $(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta}) = (0,\delta)$ is essentially the (inverse) Fourier transform,

$$\Upsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \upsilon(y)\mathrm{sgn}(xy)^{\delta} e(xy)dy. \tag{1.6}$$

The Voronoï summation formula of rank one is the summation formula of Poisson. Recall that Riemann's proof of the functional equation of his ζ-function relies on the Poisson summation formula, whereas Tate's thesis reinterprets this using the Poisson summation formula for the adele ring.

For $n = 2$, the Hankel transform associated with a GL_2-automorphic form has been present in the literature as part of the Voronoï summation formula for GL_2 for decades. See, for instance, [**HM**, Proposition 1] and the references there. According to [**HM**, Proposition 1] (see also Remark 2.8), we have

$$\Upsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} \upsilon(y)J_F(xy)dy, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^\times, \tag{1.7}$$

where, if F is a Maaß form of eigenvalue $\frac{1}{4}+t^2$ and weight k,

$$\begin{aligned} J_F(x) &= -\frac{\pi}{\cosh(\pi t)}\left(Y_{2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x}) + Y_{-2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x})\right)\\ &= \frac{\pi i}{\sinh(\pi t)}\left(J_{2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x}) - J_{-2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x})\right)\\ &= \pi i\left(e^{-\pi t}H^{(1)}_{2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x}) - e^{\pi t}H^{(2)}_{2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x})\right), \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_F(-x) &= 4\cosh(\pi t)K_{2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x})\\ &= \frac{\pi i}{\sinh(\pi t)}\left(I_{2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x}) - I_{-2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x})\right), \quad x>0, \end{aligned}$$

[III] This condition is just a matter of normalization. Equivalently, the corresponding representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ are trivial on the positive component of the center. With this condition on $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, the associated Bessel functions can be expressed in a simpler way.

for k even,

$$\begin{aligned} J_F(x) &= -\frac{\pi}{\sinh(\pi t)}\left(Y_{2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x}) - Y_{-2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x})\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi i}{\cosh(\pi t)}\left(J_{2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x}) + J_{-2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x})\right) \\ &= \pi i\left(e^{-\pi t}H^{(1)}_{2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x}) + e^{\pi t}H^{(2)}_{2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x})\right) \qquad (1.9) \\ J_F(-x) &= 4\sinh(\pi t)K_{2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x}) \\ &= \frac{\pi i}{\cosh(\pi t)}\left(I_{2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x}) - I_{-2it}(4\pi\sqrt{x})\right), \quad x>0, \end{aligned}$$

for k odd [IV], and if F is a holomorphic cusp form of weight k,

$$J_F(x) = 2\pi i^k J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{x}), \quad J_F(-x) = 0, \quad x>0. \qquad (1.10)$$

Thus the integral kernel J_F has an expression in terms of Bessel functions, where, in standard notation, J_ν, Y_ν, $H^{(1)}_\nu$, $H^{(2)}_\nu$, I_ν and K_ν are the various Bessel functions (see for instance [**Wat**]). Here, in (1.8, 1.9) the following connection formulae ([**Wat**, 3.61 (3, 4, 5, 6), 3.7 (6)]) are applied

$$Y_\nu(x) = \frac{J_\nu(x)\cos(\pi\nu) - J_{-\nu}(x)}{\sin(\pi\nu)}, \quad Y_{-\nu}(x) = \frac{J_\nu(x) - J_{-\nu}(x)\cos(\pi\nu)}{\sin(\pi\nu)}, \qquad (1.11)$$

$$H^{(1)}_\nu(x) = \frac{J_{-\nu}(x) - e^{-\pi i\nu}J_\nu(x)}{i\sin(\pi\nu)}, \quad H^{(2)}_\nu(x) = \frac{e^{\pi i\nu}J_\nu(x) - J_{-\nu}(x)}{i\sin(\pi\nu)}, \qquad (1.12)$$

$$K_\nu(x) = \frac{\pi\left(I_{-\nu}(x) - I_\nu(x)\right)}{2\sin(\pi\nu)}. \qquad (1.13)$$

The theory of Bessel functions has been extensively studied since the early 19th century, and we refer the reader to Watson's beautiful book [**Wat**] for an encyclopedic treatment.

For $n \geqslant 3$, Hankel transforms are formulated in Miller and Schmid [**MS3, MS4**], given that $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ is a certain parameter of a cuspidal $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$-automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. It is the archimedean ingredient that relates the weight functions on two sides of the identity in the Voronoï summation formula for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. For $n = 1, 2$ the Poisson and the Voronoï summation formula are also interpreted from their perspective in [**MS2**].

Using the global theory of $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_1$-Rankin-Selberg L-functions, Inchino and Templier [**IT**] extend Miller and Schmid's work and prove the Voronoï summation formula for any irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of GL_n over an arbitrary number field for $n \geqslant 2$. According to [**IT**], the two defining identities (1.2) of the associated Hankel transform follow from renormalizing the corresponding local functional equations of the $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_1$-Rankin-Selberg zeta integrals over $\mathbb{R}$.

[IV] For this case there are two insignificant typos in [**HM**, Proposition 1].

1.1.3. *Bessel kernels.* In the case $n \geqslant 3$, when applying the Voronoï summation formula, it might have been realized by many authors that, similar to (1.6, 1.7), Hankel transforms of rank n should also admit integral kernels, that is,

$$\Upsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} \upsilon(y) J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}(xy) dy.$$

We shall call $J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}$ the (*fundamental*) *Bessel kernel of index* $(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})$.

Actually, it will be seen in §2.1 that an expression of $J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}(\pm x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+ = (0,\infty)$, in terms of a certain Mellin-Barnes type integral involving the Gamma function (see (2.7)) may be easily derived from the first expression (1.4) of the Hankel transform of index $(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})$. Moreover, the analytic continuation of $J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}(\pm x)$ from $\mathbb{R}_+$ onto the Riemann surface $\mathbb{U}$, the universal cover of $\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}$, can be realized as a Barnes type integral via modifying the integral contour of a Mellin-Barnes type integral (see Remark 7.11). In the literature, we have seen applications of the asymptotic expansion of $J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}(\pm x)$ obtained from applying Stirling's asymptotic formula of the Gamma function to the Mellin-Barnes type integral (see Appendix A). There are however two limitations of this method. Firstly, it is *only* applicable when $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is regarded as fixed constant and hence the dependence on $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ of the error term can not be clarified. Secondly, it is *not* applicable to a Barnes type integral and therefore the domain of the asymptotic expansion can not be extended from $\mathbb{R}_+$. In this direction from (1.4), it seems that we can not proceed any further.

The novelty of this article is an approach to Bessel kernels starting from the second expression (1.5) of Hankel transforms. This approach is more accessible, at least in symbolic notions, in view of the simpler form of (1.5) compared to (1.4). Once we can make sense of the symbolic notions in (1.5), some well-developed methods from analysis and differential equations may be exploited so that we are able to understand Bessel kernels to a much greater extent.

1.2. Outline of article.

1.2.1. *Bessel functions and their formal integral representations.* First of all, in §2.1, we introduce the *Bessel function* $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ *of indices* $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{L}^{n-1}$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \{+,-\}^n$. It turns out that the Bessel kernel $J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}(\pm x)$ can be formulated as a signed sum of $J\big(2\pi x^{\frac{1}{n}};\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Our task is therefore understanding each Bessel function $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

In §2.2, with some manipulations on the Fourier type expression (1.5) of the Hankel transform of index $(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})$ in a symbolic manner, we obtain a *formal* integral representation of the Bessel function $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. If we define $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1,...,\nu_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ by $\nu_l = \lambda_l - \lambda_n$, with $l = 1,...,n-1$, then the formal integral is given by

$$J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{n-1} t_l^{\nu_l - 1} \right) e^{ix\left(\varsigma_n t_1 ... t_{n-1} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \varsigma_l t_l^{-1}\right)} dt_{n-1} ... dt_1. \tag{1.14}$$

Justification of this formal integral representation is the main subject of §3 and §4. For this, we partition the formal integral $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ according to some partition of unity on $\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$, and then repeatedly apply *two* kinds of partial integration operators on each resulting

integral. In this way, $J_\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ can be transformed into a finite sum of absolutely convergent multiple integrals. This sum of integrals is regarded as the rigorous definition of $J_\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$. However, the simplicity of the expression (1.14) is sacrificed after these technical procedures. Furthermore, it is shown that

$$J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = J_\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}), \tag{1.15}$$

where $J_\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ on the right is now rigorously understood.

1.2.2. *Asymptotics via stationary phase.* In §5, we either adapt techniques or apply results from the method of stationary phase to study the asymptotic behaviour of each integral in the rigorous definition of $J_\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$, and hence $J_\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ itself, for large argument. Even in the classical case $n = 2$, our method is entirely new, as the coefficients in the asymptotic expansions are formulated in a way that is quite different from what is known in the literature (see §5.4.4).

When all the components of $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$ are identically $\pm$, we denote $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, respectively $J_\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$, by $H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, respectively $H^{\pm}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x)$, and call it an *H-Bessel function*[V]. This pair of H-Bessel functions will be of paramount significance in our treatment.

It is shown that $H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = H^{\pm}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x)$ admits an analytic continuation from $\mathbb{R}_+$ onto the half-plane $\mathbb{H}^{\pm} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \{0\} : 0 \leqslant \pm \arg z \leqslant \pi\}$. We have the asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\pm 2\pi i)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\pm inz} z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \\ &\left(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} (\pm i)^{-m} B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) z^{-m} + O_{\Re,M,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M}|z|^{-M+\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}^{\pm}$ such that $|z| \geqslant \mathfrak{C}$, where $\mathfrak{C} = \max\{|\lambda_l|\} + 1$, $\Re = \max\{|\Re\mathrm{e}\,\lambda_l|\}$, $M \geqslant 0$, $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is a certain symmetric polynomial in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ of degree $2m$, with $B_0(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 1$. In particular, these two H-Bessel functions oscillate and decay proportionally to $x^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

All the other Bessel functions are called *K-Bessel functions* and are shown to be Schwartz functions at infinity.

1.2.3. *Bessel equations.* The differential equation, namely *Bessel equation*, satisfied by the Bessel function $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is discovered in §6.

Given $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{L}^{n-1}$, there are exactly two Bessel equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} V_{n,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) x^j w^{(j)} + (V_{n,0}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \varsigma(in)^n x^n)\, w = 0, \quad \varsigma \in \{+,-\}, \tag{1.17}$$

where $V_{n,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is some explicitly given symmetric polynomial in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ of degree $n-j$. We call ς the *sign* of the Bessel equation (1.17). $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ satisfies the Bessel equation of sign $S_n(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \prod_{l=1}^{n} \varsigma_l$.

The entire §7 is devoted to the study of Bessel equations. Let $\mathbb{U}$ denote the Riemann surface associated with $\log z$, that is, the universal cover of $\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}$. Replacing x by z to stand for complex variable in the Bessel equation (1.17), the domain is extended from

[V] If a statement or a formula includes $\pm$ or $\mp$, then it should be read with $\pm$ and $\mp$ simultaneously replaced by either $+$ and $-$ or $-$ and $+$.

$\mathbb{R}_+$ to $\mathbb{U}$. According to the theory of linear ordinary differential equations with analytic coefficients, $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ admits an analytic continuation onto $\mathbb{U}$.

Firstly, since zero is a regular singularity, the Frobenius method may be exploited to find a solution $J_l(z;\varsigma,\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ of (1.17), for each $l=1,...,n$, defined by the following series,

$$J_l(z;\varsigma,\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\varsigma i^n)^m z^{n(-\lambda_l+m)}}{\prod_{k=1}^{n}\Gamma\left(\lambda_k-\lambda_l+m+1\right)}.$$

$J_l(z;\varsigma,\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are called *Bessel functions of the first kind*, since they generalize the Bessel functions J_ν and the modified Bessel functions I_ν of the first kind.

It turns out that each $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ may be expressed in terms of $J_l\left(z;S_n(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}),\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right)$. This leads to the following connection formula

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=e\left(\pm\frac{\sum_{l\in L_{\mp}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}\lambda_l}{2}\right)H^{\pm}\left(e^{\pm\pi i\frac{n_{\mp}(\varsigma)}{n}}z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right), \tag{1.18}$$

where $L_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})=\{l:\varsigma_l=\pm\}$ and $n_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})=|L_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})|$. Thus the Bessel function $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is determined up to a constant by the pair of integers $(n_+(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}),n_-(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}))$, called the *signature* of either $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$ or $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

Secondly, ∞ is an irregular singularity of rank one. The formal solutions at infinity serve as the asymptotic expansions of some actual solutions of Bessel equations.

Let ξ be an n-th root of $\varsigma 1$. There exists a unique formal solution $\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ of the Bessel equation of sign ς in the following form

$$\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)=e^{in\xi z}z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)z^{-m},$$

where $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ is a symmetric polynomial in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ of degree $2m$, with $B_0(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)=1$. The coefficients of $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ depend only on m,ξ and n. There exists a *unique* solution $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ of the Bessel equation of sign ς that possesses $\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ as its asymptotic expansion on the sector

$$\mathbb{S}_\xi=\left\{z\in\mathbb{U}:\left|\arg z-\arg(i\bar{\xi})\right|<\frac{\pi}{n}\right\},$$

or any of its open subsector.

The study of the theory of asymptotic expansions for ordinary differential equations can be traced back to Poincaré. There are abundant references on this topic, for instance, [**CL**, Chapter 5], [**Was**, Chapter III-V] and [**Olv**, Chapter 7]. However, the author is not aware of any error analysis in the index aspect in the literature except for differential equations of second order in [**Olv**]. Nevertheless, with some effort, a very satisfactory error bound is attainable.

For $0<\vartheta<\frac{1}{2}\pi$ define the sector

$$\mathbb{S}'_\xi(\vartheta)=\left\{z\in\mathbb{U}:\left|\arg z-\arg(i\bar{\xi})\right|<\pi+\frac{\pi}{n}-\vartheta\right\}.$$

The following asymptotic expansion is established in §7.4,

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) = e^{in\xi z} z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) z^{-m} + O_{M,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M} |z|^{-M} \right) \right) \tag{1.19}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{S}'_{\xi}(\vartheta)$ with $|z| \gg_{M,\vartheta,n} \mathfrak{C}^2$.

For a $2n$-th root of unity ξ, $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ is called a *Bessel function of the second kind*. We have the following formula that relates all the the Bessel functions of the second kind to either $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};1)$ or $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};-1)$ upon rotating the argument by a $2n$-th root of unity,

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) = (\pm\xi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} J(\pm\xi z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1). \tag{1.20}$$

1.2.4. *Connections between $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$.* Comparing the asymptotic expansions of $H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1)$ in (1.16) and (1.19), we obtain the identity

$$H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\pm 2\pi i)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1). \tag{1.21}$$

It follows from (1.18) and (1.20) that

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{(\mp 2\pi i)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{n}} e\left(\pm\frac{(n-1)n_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{4n} \mp \frac{\sum_{l\in L_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})} \lambda_l}{2} \right) J\Big(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\mp e^{\mp\pi i \frac{n_{\pm}(\varsigma)}{n}}\Big).$$

Thus (1.19) may be applied to improve the error estimate in the asymptotic expansion (1.16) of the H-Bessel function $H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ when $|z| \gg_{M,n} \mathfrak{C}^2$ and also to show the exponential decay of K-Bessel functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

1.2.5. *Connections between $J_l(z;\varsigma,\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$.* The identity (1.21) also yields connection formulae between the two kinds of Bessel functions, in terms of a certain Vandermonde matrix and its inverse.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS . *The author is grateful to his advisor, Roman Holowinsky, who brought him to the area of analytic number theory, gave him much enlightenment, guidance and encouragement. The author would like to thank James Cogdell, Ovidiu Costin, Stephen Miller and Zhilin Ye for valuable comments and helpful discussions.*

2. Preliminaries on Bessel functions

In §2.1 and 2.2, we shall introduce the Bessel function $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, with $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \{+,-\}^n$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{L}^{n-1}$. Two expressions of $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ arise from the two formulae (1.4) and (1.5) of the Hankel transform of index $(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})$. The first is a Mellin-Barnes type contour integral and the second is a formal multiple integral. In §2.3 and 2.4, some examples of $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are provided for the purpose of illustration.

Let $\upsilon \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{\times})$ be a Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\upsilon(-y) = (-)^{\eta}\upsilon(y)$, with $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

2.1. The definition of the Bessel function $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. We start with reformulating (1.3) as

$$G_\delta(s) = (2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)\left(e\left(\frac{s}{4}\right) + (-)^\delta e\left(-\frac{s}{4}\right)\right).$$

Inserting this formula of G_δ into (1.4), $\Upsilon(x)$ then splits as follows

$$\Upsilon(x) = \mathrm{sgn}(x)^\eta \sum_{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}\in\{+,-\}^n}\left(\prod_{l=1}^n \varsigma_l^{\delta_l+\eta}\right)\Upsilon(|x|;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}), \tag{2.1}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} = (\varsigma_1, ..., \varsigma_n)$, where

$$\Upsilon(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}\int_0^\infty \upsilon(y)y^{-s}dy\cdot G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})((2\pi)^n x)^{-s}ds, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}_+, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \prod_{l=1}^n \Gamma(s-\lambda_l)e\left(\frac{\varsigma_l(s-\lambda_l)}{4}\right). \tag{2.3}$$

Since all the derivatives of υ rapidly decay at both zero and infinity, repeating partial integrations yields the bound

$$\int_0^\infty \upsilon(y)y^{-s}dy \ll_{\Re\mathfrak{e}\, s, M, \upsilon} (|\Im\mathfrak{m}\, s| + 1)^{-M},$$

for any nonnegative integer M. Hence the iterated double integral in (2.2) is convergent due to Stirling's formula.

Choose $\rho < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}$ so that $\sum_{l=1}^n \left(\rho - \Re\mathfrak{e}\,\lambda_l - \frac{1}{2}\right) < -1$. Without passing through any pole of $G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, we shift the vertical line (σ) to a contour $\mathcal{C}$ that starts from $\rho - i\infty$, ends at $\rho + i\infty$, and remains vertical at infinity. After this contour shift, the double integral in (2.2) becomes absolutely convergent by Stirling's formula. Changing the order of integration is therefore legitimate and yields

$$\Upsilon(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \int_0^\infty \upsilon(y)J\big(2\pi(xy)^{\frac{1}{n}};\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big)dy, \tag{2.4}$$

with

$$J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{C}} G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})x^{-ns}ds. \tag{2.5}$$

For $\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathbb{L}^{n-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}\in\{+,-\}^n$, the function $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ defined by (2.5) is called a *Bessel function* and the integral in (2.5) a *Mellin-Barnes type integral*. We view $J\big(x^{\frac{1}{n}};\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big)$ as the inverse Mellin transform of $G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

Suitably choosing the integral contour $\mathcal{C}$, it may be verified that $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is a smooth function of x and is analytic with respect to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

Remark 2.1. *The contour of integration (σ) does not need modification if the components of $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$ are not identical. For further discussions of the integral in the definition* (2.5) *of $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ see Remark* 7.11.

REMARK 2.2. *We have*

$$\Upsilon(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^\times}\upsilon(y)J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}(xy)dy,\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^\times, \tag{2.6}$$

for any $\upsilon\in\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^\times)$*, where the Bessel kernel* $J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}(\pm x)&=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(\pm)^\delta\sum_{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}\in\{+,-\}^n}\left(\prod_{l=1}^n\varsigma_l^{\delta_l+\delta}\right)J\left(2\pi x^{\frac{1}{n}};\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right)\\&=\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}\in\{+,-\}^n\\ \prod\varsigma_l=\pm}}\left(\prod_{l=1}^n\varsigma_l^{\delta_l}\right)J\left(2\pi x^{\frac{1}{n}};\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

with $x\in\mathbb{R}_+$*. Moreover,*

$$J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}(x)=\sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}\frac{\mathrm{sgn}(x)^\delta}{4\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\prod_{l=1}^n G_{\delta_l+\delta}(s-\lambda_l)\right)|x|^{-s}ds. \tag{2.8}$$

2.2. The formal integral representation of $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$**.** In this section, we assume $n\geqslant 2$. Since we shall manipulate the Fourier type integral transform (1.5) only in a symbolic manner, the restrictions on the index $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ that guarantee the convergence of the iterated integral in (1.5) will not be imposed here.

With the parity condition on the weight function υ, (1.5) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon(x)=\frac{\mathrm{sgn}(x)^\eta}{|x|}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}\in\{+,-\}^n}&\left(\prod_{l=1}^n\varsigma_l^{\delta_l+\eta}\right)\\&\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}\upsilon\left(\frac{x_1...x_n}{|x|}\right)\left(\prod_{l=1}^n x_l^{-\lambda_l}e(\varsigma_l x_l)\right)dx_ndx_{n-1}...dx_1.\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Comparing (2.9) with (2.1)[VI], we arrive at

$$\Upsilon(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})=\frac{1}{|x|}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}\upsilon\left(\frac{x_1...x_n}{|x|}\right)\left(\prod_{l=1}^n x_l^{-\lambda_l}e(\varsigma_l x_l)\right)dx_ndx_{n-1}...dx_1.$$

The change of variables $x_n=|x|y(x_1...x_{n-1})^{-1}$, $x_l=y_l^{-1}$, $l=1,...,n-1$, turns this further into

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})=\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}\upsilon(y)(xy)^{-\lambda_n}&\left(\prod_{l=1}^{n-1}y_l^{\lambda_l-\lambda_n-1}\right)\\&e\left(\varsigma_n xyy_1...y_{n-1}+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\varsigma_l y_l^{-1}\right)dydy_{n-1}...dy_1.\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

[VI]To justify our comparison, we use the fact that the associated $2^n\times 2^n$ matrix is equal to the n-th tensor power of $\begin{pmatrix}1 & (-1)^\eta\\ 1 & (-1)^{1+\eta}\end{pmatrix}$ and hence is invertible.

Comparing now (2.10) with (2.4), if one *formally* changes the order of the integrations, which is *not* permissible since the integral is *not* absolutely convergent, then $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ can be expressed as a symbolic integral as below,

$$J(2\pi x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = x^{-n\lambda_n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{n-1} y_l^{\lambda_l-\lambda_n-1}\right) e\left(\varsigma_n x^n y_1...y_{n-1} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\varsigma_l y_l^{-1}\right) dy_{n-1}...dy_1.$$

Another change of variables $y_l = t_l x^{-1}$, along with the assumption $\sum_{l=1}^{n}\lambda_l = 0$, yields

$$J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{n-1} t_l^{\lambda_l-\lambda_n-1}\right) e^{ix\left(\varsigma_n t_1...t_{n-1}+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\varsigma_l t_l^{-1}\right)} dt_{n-1}...dt_1. \tag{2.11}$$

The above integral is *not* absolutely convergent and will be referred to as the *formal integral representation of* $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

Remark 2.3. *Before realizing its connection with the Fourier type transform* (1.5)*, the formal integral representation of* $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ *was derived by the author from* (1.4) *based on a symbolic application of the product-convolution principle of the Mellin transform together with the following formula* ([**GR**, **3.764]**)

$$\Gamma(s)e\left(\pm\frac{s}{4}\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{\pm ix}x^s d^\times x, \quad 0 < \Re\mathrm{e}\, s < 1. \tag{2.12}$$

Though not specified, this principle is implicitly suggested in Miller and Schmid's work, especially, [**MS1**, Theorem 4.12, Lemma 6.19] *and* [**MS3**, (5.22, 5.26)] (*see also* [**Qi1**, §5]).

2.3. The classical cases.

2.3.1. *The case* $n = 1$.

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose* $n = 1$. *Choose the contour* $\mathcal{C}$ *as in* §2.1*;* $\mathcal{C}$ *starts from* $\rho - i\infty$ *and ends at* $\rho + i\infty$*, with* $\rho < -\frac{1}{2}$*, and all the nonpositive integers lie on the left side of* $\mathcal{C}$*. We have*

$$e^{\pm ix} = \int_{\mathcal{C}}\Gamma(s)e\left(\pm\frac{s}{4}\right)x^{-s}ds. \tag{2.13}$$

Therefore

$$J(x;\pm,0) = e^{\pm ix}.$$

Proof. Let $\Re\mathrm{e}\, z > 0$. For $\Re\mathrm{e}\, s > 0$, we have the formula

$$\Gamma(s)z^{-s} = \int_0^\infty e^{-zx}x^s d^\times x,$$

where the integral is absolutely convergent. The Mellin inversion formula yields

$$e^{-zx} = \int_{(\sigma)}\Gamma(s)z^{-s}x^{-s}ds, \quad \sigma > 0.$$

Shifting the contour of integration from (σ) to $\mathcal{C}$, one sees that

$$e^{-zx} = \int_{\mathcal{C}}\Gamma(s)z^{-s}x^{-s}ds.$$

Choose $z = e^{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi-\epsilon\right)i}$, $\pi > \epsilon > 0$. In view of Stirling's formula, the convergence of the integral above is uniform in ϵ. Therefore, we obtain (2.13) by letting $\epsilon \to 0$. Q.E.D.

REMARK 2.5. *Observe that the integral in* (2.12) *is only conditionally convergent, the Mellin inversion formula does not apply in the rigorous sense. Nevertheless,* (2.13) *should be view as the Mellin inversion of* (2.12)*.*

REMARK 2.6. *It follows from the proof of Proposition* 2.4 *that the formula*

$$e^{-e(a)x} = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \Gamma(s) e\left(-as\right) x^{-s} ds \tag{2.14}$$

is valid for any $a \in \left[-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right]$.

2.3.2. *The case* $n = 2$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$*. Then*

$$J(x; \pm, \pm, \lambda, -\lambda) = \pm\pi i e^{\pm\pi i\lambda} H_{2\lambda}^{(1,2)}(2x),$$
$$J(x; \pm, \mp, \lambda, -\lambda) = 2e^{\mp\pi i\lambda} K_{2\lambda}(2x).$$

Here $H_\nu^{(1)}$ *and* $H_\nu^{(2)}$ *are Bessel functions of the third kind, also known as Hankel functions, whereas* K_ν *is the modified Bessel function of the second kind, occasionally called the K-Bessel function.*

PROOF. The following formulae are derived from [**GR**, **6.561** 14-16] along with Euler's reflection formula of the Gamma function.

$$\pi \int_0^\infty J_\nu(2\sqrt{x}) x^{s-1} dx = \Gamma\left(s + \frac{\nu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(s - \frac{\nu}{2}\right) \sin\left(\pi\left(s - \frac{\nu}{2}\right)\right)$$

for $-\frac{1}{2}\Re\mathrm{e}\,\nu < \Re\mathrm{e}\,s < \frac{1}{4}$,

$$-\pi \int_0^\infty Y_\nu(2\sqrt{x}) x^{s-1} dx = \Gamma\left(s + \frac{\nu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(s - \frac{\nu}{2}\right) \cos\left(\pi\left(s - \frac{\nu}{2}\right)\right)$$

for $\frac{1}{2}|\Re\mathrm{e}\,\nu| < \Re\mathrm{e}\,s < \frac{1}{4}$, and

$$2\int_0^\infty K_\nu(2\sqrt{x}) x^{s-1} dx = \Gamma\left(s + \frac{\nu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(s - \frac{\nu}{2}\right)$$

for $\Re\mathrm{e}\,s > \frac{1}{2}|\Re\mathrm{e}\,\nu|$. For $\Re\mathrm{e}\,s$ in the given ranges, these integrals are absolutely convergent. It follows immediately from the Mellin inversion formula that

$$J(x; \pm, \pm, \lambda, -\lambda) = \pm\pi i e^{\pm\pi i\lambda}(J_{2\lambda}(2x) \pm iY_{2\lambda}(2x)), \quad |\Re\mathrm{e}\,\lambda| < \frac{1}{4},$$
$$J(x; \pm, \mp, \lambda, -\lambda) = 2e^{\mp\pi i\lambda} K_{2\lambda}(2x).$$

In view of the analyticity in λ, the first formula remains valid even if $|\Re\mathrm{e}\,\lambda| \geqslant \frac{1}{4}$ by the theory of analytic continuation. Finally, we conclude the proof by recollecting the formula $H_\nu^{(1,2)}(x) = J_\nu(x) \pm iY_\nu(x)$. Q.E.D.

REMARK 2.8. *Let $\lambda = it$ if F is a Maaß form of eigenvalue $\frac{1}{4} + t^2$ and weight k, and let $\lambda = \frac{k-1}{2}$ if F is a holomorphic cusp form of weight k. Then F is parametrized by $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = (\lambda, -\lambda, k(\mathrm{mod}\, 2), 0)$ and $J_F = J_{(\lambda,\delta)}$. From the formula* (2.7) *of the Bessel kernel, we have*

$$J_{(\lambda,\delta)}(x) = J(2\pi\sqrt{x}; +, +, \lambda, -\lambda) + (-)^k J(2\pi\sqrt{x}; -, -, \lambda, -\lambda),$$
$$J_{(\lambda,\delta)}(-x) = J(2\pi\sqrt{x}; +, -, \lambda, -\lambda) + (-)^k J(2\pi\sqrt{x}; -, +, \lambda, -\lambda).$$

Thus, Proposition 2.7 *implies* (1.8, 1.9, 1.10).

When $x > 0$ and $|\Re\mathfrak{e}\, \nu| < 1$, we have the following integral representations of Bessel functions ([**Wat**, 6.21 (10, 11), 6.22 (13)])

$$H_\nu^{(1,2)}(x) = \pm\frac{2e^{\mp\frac{1}{2}\pi i\nu}}{\pi i}\int_0^\infty e^{\pm ix\cosh r}\cosh(\nu r)dr,$$
$$K_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{\cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi\nu\right)}\int_0^\infty \cos(x\sinh r)\cosh(\nu r)dr.$$

The change of variables $t = e^r$ yields

$$\pm\pi i e^{\pm\frac{1}{2}\pi i\nu}H_\nu^{(1,2)}(2x) = \int_0^\infty t^{\nu-1}e^{\pm ix(t+t^{-1})}dt,$$
$$2e^{\pm\frac{1}{2}\pi i\nu}K_\nu(2x) = \int_0^\infty t^{\nu-1}e^{\pm ix(t-t^{-1})}dt.$$

The integrals in these formulae are exactly the formal integrals in (2.11) in the case $n = 2$. They *conditionally* converge if $|\Re\mathfrak{e}\, \nu| < 1$, but diverge if otherwise.

2.4. A prototypical example. According to [**Wat**, 3.4 (3, 6), 3.71 (13)],

$$J_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sin x, \quad J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\cos x.$$

The connection formulae (1.12) then imply that

$$H_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(x) = -i\left(\frac{2}{\pi x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{ix}, \quad H_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(x) = i\left(\frac{2}{\pi x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-ix}.$$

Moreover, [**Wat**, 3.71 (13)] reads

$$K_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-x}.$$

Therefore, from the formulae in Proposition 2.7 we have

$$J\left(x; \pm, \pm, \tfrac{1}{4}, -\tfrac{1}{4}\right) = \left(\frac{\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{\pm 2ix\pm\frac{1}{4}\pi i}, \quad J\left(x; \pm, \mp, \tfrac{1}{4}, -\tfrac{1}{4}\right) = \left(\frac{\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-2x\mp\frac{1}{4}\pi i}.$$

These formulae admit generalizations to arbitrary rank.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *For $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \{+,-\}^n$ we define $L_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \{l : \varsigma_l = \pm\}$ and $n_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = |L_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})|$. Put $\xi(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = ie^{\pi i\frac{n_-(\varsigma)-n_+(\varsigma)}{2n}} = \mp e^{\mp\pi i\frac{n_\pm(\varsigma)}{n}}$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, ..., -\frac{n-1}{2}\right)$. Then*

$$J(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{c(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{in\xi(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})x}, \tag{2.15}$$

with $c(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = e\left(\mp\frac{n-1}{8} \pm \frac{n_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{l\in L_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})} l\right)$.

Proof. Using the multiplication formula of the Gamma function

$$\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\Gamma\left(s+\frac{k}{n}\right) = (2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} n^{\frac{1}{2}-ns}\Gamma(ns), \tag{2.16}$$

straightforward calculations yield

$$G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = c_1(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})(2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} n^{\frac{1}{2}-n\left(s-\frac{n-1}{2n}\right)}\Gamma\left(n\left(s-\tfrac{n-1}{2n}\right)\right) e\left(\tfrac{n_+(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})-n_-(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{4}\cdot s\right),$$

with $c_1(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = e\left(\pm\frac{(n+1)n_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{4n} \mp \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{l\in L_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})} l\right)$. Inserting this into the contour integral in (2.5) and making the change of variables from s to $\frac{1}{n}\left(s+\frac{n-1}{2}\right)$, one arrives at

$$J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{c_1(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})c_2(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\int_{n\mathcal{C}-\frac{n-1}{2}}\Gamma(s)e\left(\tfrac{n_+(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})-n_-(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{4n}\cdot s\right)(nx)^{-s}ds,$$

with $c_2(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = e\left(\mp\frac{n-1}{8} \pm \frac{(n-1)n_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{4n}\right)$. (2.15) now follows from (2.14) if the contour $\mathcal{C}$ is suitably chosen. Q.E.D.

3. The rigorous interpretation of formal integral representations

We first introduce some new notations. Let $d = n-1$, $\boldsymbol{t} = (t_1,...,t_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1,...,\nu_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} = (\varsigma_1,...,\varsigma_d,\varsigma_{d+1}) \in \{+,-\}^{d+1}$. For $a > 0$ define $\mathbb{S}_a^d = \left\{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathbb{C}^d : |\Re\mathfrak{e}\,\nu_l| < a \text{ for all } l = 1,...,d\right\}$. For $\nu\in\mathbb{C}$ define

$$[\nu]_\alpha = \textstyle\prod_{k=0}^{\alpha-1}(\nu-k),\ (\nu)_\alpha = \prod_{k=0}^{\alpha-1}(\nu+k) \text{ if } \alpha\geqslant 1, \quad [\nu]_0 = (\nu)_0 = 1.$$

Denote by $p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ the power function

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{t}) = \prod_{l=1}^{d} t_l^{\nu_l-1},$$

let

$$\theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \varsigma_{d+1}t_1...t_d + \sum_{l=1}^{d}\varsigma_l t_l^{-1},$$

and define the formal integral

$$J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{t})e^{ix\theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}d\boldsymbol{t}. \tag{3.1}$$

One sees that the formal integral representation of $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ given in (2.11) is equal to $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ if $\nu_l = \lambda_l - \lambda_{d+1}$, $l = 1,...,d$.

For $d = 1$, it is seen in §2.3 that $J_\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ is conditionally convergent if and only if $|\Re\mathfrak{e}\,\nu| < 1$ but fails to be absolutely convergent. When $d\geqslant 2$, we are in a worse scenario. The notion of convergence for multiple integrals is always in the absolute sense. Thus, the d-dimensional multiple integral in (3.1) alone does not make any sense, since it is clearly not absolutely convergent.

In the following, we shall address this fundamental convergence issue of the formal integral $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$, relying on its structural simplicity, so that it will be provided with mathematically rigorous meanings[VII]. Moreover, it will be shown that our rigorous interpretation of $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ is a smooth function of x on $\mathbb{R}_+$ as well as an analytic function of $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ on $\mathbb{C}^d$.

3.1. Formal partial integration operators. The most crucial observation is that there are *two* kinds of formal partial integrations. The first kind arises from

$$\partial\left(e^{\varsigma_l ixt_l^{-1}}\right) = -\varsigma_l ixt_l^{-2}e^{\varsigma_l ixt_l^{-1}}\partial t_l,$$

and the second kind from

$$\partial\left(e^{\varsigma_{d+1}ixt_1\ldots t_d}\right) = \varsigma_{d+1}ixt_1\ldots\widehat{t_l}\ldots t_d e^{\varsigma_{d+1}ixt_1\ldots t_d}\partial t_l,$$

where $\widehat{t_l}$ means that t_l is omitted from the product.

Definition 3.1. *Let*

$$\mathscr{T}(\mathbb{R}_+) = \left\{h\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) : t^\alpha h^{(\alpha)}(t) \ll_\alpha 1 \text{ for all } \alpha\in\mathbb{N}\right\}.$$

For $h(\boldsymbol{t})\in\bigotimes^d\mathscr{T}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, in the sense that $h(\boldsymbol{t})$ is a linear combination of functions of the form $\prod_{l=1}^d h_l(t_l)$, define the integral

$$J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} h(\boldsymbol{t})p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{t})e^{ix\theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}d\boldsymbol{t}.$$

We call $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h)$ a J-integral of index $\boldsymbol{\nu}$. Let us introduce an auxiliary space

$$\mathscr{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}]}\left\{J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h) : \boldsymbol{\nu}'\in\boldsymbol{\nu}+\mathbb{Z}^d, h\in\bigotimes^d\mathscr{T}(\mathbb{R}_+)\right\}.$$

Here $\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}]$ is the ring of polynomials of variable x^{-1} and complex coefficients. Finally, we define $\mathcal{P}_{+,l}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{-,l}$ to be $\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}]$-linear operators on the space $\mathscr{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$, in symbolic notion, as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{+,l}(J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h)) &= \varsigma_l\varsigma_{d+1}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^d+\boldsymbol{e}_l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h)\\ &\quad-\varsigma_l i(\nu_l+1)x^{-1}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}_l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h) - \varsigma_l ix^{-1}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}_l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};t_l\partial_l h),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{-,l}(J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h)) &= \varsigma_l\varsigma_{d+1}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}-\boldsymbol{e}^d-\boldsymbol{e}_l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h)\\ &\quad+\varsigma_{d+1}i(\nu_l-1)x^{-1}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}-\boldsymbol{e}^d}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h) + \varsigma_{d+1}ix^{-1}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}-\boldsymbol{e}^d}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};t_l\partial_l h),\end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{e}_l = (\underbrace{0,\ldots,0,1}_{l},0\ldots,0)$ and $\boldsymbol{e}^d=(1,\ldots,1)$, and $\partial_l h$ is the abbreviated $\partial h/\partial t_l$.

The formulations of $\mathcal{P}_{+,l}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{-,l}$ are quite involved at a first glance. However, the most essential feature of these operators is simply *index shifts*!

[VII]It turns out that our rigorous interpretation actually coincides with the *Hadamard partie finie* of the formal integral.



FIGURE 1. Index shifts

OBSERVATION. *After the operation of $\mathcal{P}_{+,l}$ on a J-integral, all the indices of the three resulting J-integrals are nondecreasing and the increment of the l-th index is one greater than the others. The operator $\mathcal{P}_{-,l}$ has the effect of decreasing all indices by one except possibly two for the l-th index.*

LEMMA 3.2. *Let notations be as above.*

(1). *Let $h(\boldsymbol{t})=\prod_{l=1}^d h_l(t_l)$. Suppose that the set $\{1,2,...,d\}$ splits into two subsets L_+ and L_- such that*

- *h_l vanishes at infinity if $l\in L_-$, and*
- *h_l vanishes in a neighbourhood of zero if $l\in L_+$.*

If $\Re\mathrm{e}\,\nu_l>0$ for all $l\in L_-$ and $\Re\mathrm{e}\,\nu_l<0$ for all $l\in L_+$, then the J-integral $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h)$ absolutely converges.

(2). *Assume the same conditions in* (1). *Moreover, suppose that $\Re\mathrm{e}\,\nu_l>1$ for all $l\in L_-$ and $\Re\mathrm{e}\,\nu_l<-1$ for all $l\in L_+$. Then, for $l\in L_-$, all the three J-integrals in the definition of $\mathcal{P}_{+,l}(J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h))$ are absolutely convergent and the operation of $\mathcal{P}_{+,l}$ on $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h)$ is the actual partial integration of the first kind on the integral over dt_l. Similarly, for $l\in L_+$, the operation of $\mathcal{P}_{-,l}$ preserves absolute convergence and is the actual partial integration of the second kind on the integral over dt_l.*

(3). *$\mathcal{P}_{+,l}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{-,l}$ commute with $\mathcal{P}_{+,k}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{-,k}$ if $l\neq k$.*

(4). *Let $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}$. We have*

$$\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}_{+,l}(J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h))=\varsigma_l^{\alpha}i^{-\alpha}\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3\geqslant 0\\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3=\alpha}}\varsigma_{d+1}^{\alpha_1}i^{\alpha_1}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_1!\alpha_2!\alpha_3!}$$
$$[\nu_l+2\alpha-1]_{\alpha_3}x^{-\alpha+\alpha_1}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\alpha_1\boldsymbol{e}^d+\alpha\boldsymbol{e}_l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};t_l^{\alpha_2}\partial_l^{\alpha_2}h),$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}_{-,l}(J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h))=\varsigma_{d+1}^{\alpha}i^{\alpha}\sum_{\substack{\alpha_2,\alpha_3\geqslant 0,\alpha_4\geqslant 1\\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4=\alpha}}(-)^{\alpha_4}\frac{\alpha!(\alpha_4-1)!}{\alpha_2!\alpha_3!}[\nu_l-1]_{\alpha_3}$$
$$\sum_{\alpha_1=1}^{\alpha_4}\frac{(\varsigma_l i)^{\alpha_1}}{(\alpha_4-\alpha_1)!\alpha_1!(\alpha_1-1)!}x^{-\alpha+\alpha_1}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}-\alpha\boldsymbol{e}^d-\alpha_1\boldsymbol{e}_l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};t_l^{\alpha_2}\partial_l^{\alpha_2}h)$$
$$+\varsigma_{d+1}^{\alpha}i^{\alpha}\sum_{\substack{\alpha_2,\alpha_3\geqslant 0\\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3=\alpha}}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_2!\alpha_3!}[\nu_l-1]_{\alpha_3}x^{-\alpha}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}-\alpha\boldsymbol{e}^d}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};t_l^{\alpha_2}\partial_l^{\alpha_2}h).$$

PROOF. (1-3) are obvious. The formulae in (4) follow from calculating

$$(-)^{\alpha}\partial_l^{\alpha}\left((-\varsigma_l ix)^{-\alpha}h(\boldsymbol{t})p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+2\alpha\boldsymbol{e}_l}(\boldsymbol{t})e^{ix\left(\varsigma_{d+1}t_1\ldots t_d+\sum_{k\neq l}\varsigma_k t_k^{-1}\right)}\right)e^{\varsigma_l ixt_l^{-1}}$$

and

$$(-)^{\alpha}\partial_l^{\alpha}\left((\varsigma_{d+1}ix)^{-\alpha}h(\boldsymbol{t})p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}-\alpha\boldsymbol{e}^d+\alpha\boldsymbol{e}_l}(\boldsymbol{t})e^{ix\sum_{k=1}^{d}\varsigma_k t_k^{-1}}\right)e^{\varsigma_{d+1}ixt_1\ldots t_d}.$$

For the latter, one applies the following formula

$$\frac{d^{\alpha}\left(e^{at^{-1}}\right)}{dt^{\alpha}}=(-)^{\alpha}\sum_{\beta=1}^{\alpha}\frac{\alpha!(\alpha-1)!}{(\alpha-\beta)!\beta!(\beta-1)!}a^{\beta}t^{-\alpha-\beta}e^{at^{-1}},$$

where α is a positive integer and a is a complex number. Q.E.D.

3.2. Partitioning the integral $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$. Let I be a finite set including $\{+,-\}$ and

$$\sum_{\varrho\in I}h_{\varrho}(t)\equiv 1,\quad t\in\mathbb{R}_+,$$

be a partition of unity on $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that each h_{ϱ} is a function in $\mathscr{T}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $h_-(t)\equiv 1$ on a neighbourhood of zero and $h_+(t)\equiv 1$ for large t. Put $h_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}(\boldsymbol{t})=\prod_{l=1}^{d}h_{\varrho_l}(t_l)$ for $\boldsymbol{\varrho}=(\varrho_1,\ldots,\varrho_d)\in I^d$. We partition the integral $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ into a finite sum of J-integrals

$$J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}\in I^d}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho}),$$

with

$$J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})=J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};h_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}})=\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d}h_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}(\boldsymbol{t})p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{t})e^{ix\theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}d\boldsymbol{t}.$$

3.3. The definition of $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$. Let $a>0$ and assume $\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathbb{S}_a$. Let $A\geqslant a+2$ be an integer. For $\boldsymbol{\varrho}\in I^d$ denote $L_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varrho})=\{l:\varrho_l=\pm\}$.

We first treat $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ in the case when both $L_+(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ and $L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ are nonempty. Define $\mathcal{P}_{+,\boldsymbol{\varrho}}=\prod_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}\mathcal{P}_{+,l}$. This is well-defined due to commutativity (Lemma 3.2 (3)). By Lemma 3.2 (4) one sees that $\mathcal{P}_{+,\boldsymbol{\varrho}}^{2A}(J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho}))$ is a linear combination of

$$\left(\prod_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}[\nu_l+4A-1]_{\alpha_{3,l}}\right)x^{-2A|L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})|+\sum_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}\alpha_{1,l}}\cdot J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+(\sum_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}\alpha_{1,l})\boldsymbol{e}^d+2A\sum_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}\boldsymbol{e}_l}\left(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\left(\prod_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}t_l^{\alpha_{2,l}}\partial_l^{\alpha_{2,l}}\right)h_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}\right),\tag{3.2}$$

with $\alpha_{1,l}+\alpha_{2,l}+\alpha_{3,l}=2A$ for each $l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$. Then, we choose $l_+\in L_+(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ and apply $\mathcal{P}_{-,l_+}^{A+\sum_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}\alpha_{1,l}}$ on the J-integral in (3.2). By Lemma 3.2 (4) one obtains a linear combination of

$$[\nu_{l_+}-1]_{\alpha_3}\left(\prod_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}[\nu_l+4A-1]_{\alpha_{3,l}}\right)x^{-A(2|L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})|+1)+\alpha_1}\cdot J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}-A\boldsymbol{e}^d+2A\sum_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}\boldsymbol{e}_l-\alpha_1\boldsymbol{e}_{l_+}}\left(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\left(t_{l_+}^{\alpha_2}\partial_{l_+}^{\alpha_2}\prod_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}t_l^{\alpha_{2,l}}\partial_l^{\alpha_{2,l}}\right)h_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}\right),\tag{3.3}$$

with $\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3 \leqslant \sum_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}\alpha_{1,l}+A$. One easily verifies that the real part of the l-th index of the J-integral in (3.3) is positive if $l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ and negative if $l\in L_+(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$. Therefore, the J-integral in (3.3) is absolutely convergent according to Lemma 3.2 (1). We define $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ to be the total linear combination of J-integrals obtained after all these operations.

When $L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})\neq\emptyset$ and $L_+(\boldsymbol{\varrho})=\emptyset$, we define $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})=\mathcal{P}^A_{+,\boldsymbol{\varrho}}(J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho}))$. It is a linear combination of

$$\left(\prod_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}[\nu_l+2A-1]_{\alpha_{3,l}}\right)x^{-A|L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})|+\sum_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}\alpha_{1,l}}\cdot \tag{3.4}$$
$$J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+(\sum_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}\alpha_{1,l})\boldsymbol{e}^d+A\sum_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}\boldsymbol{e}_l}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\big(\textstyle\prod_{l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}t_l^{\alpha_{2,l}}\partial_l^{\alpha_{2,l}}\big)h_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}\big),$$

with $\alpha_{1,l}+\alpha_{2,l}+\alpha_{3,l}=A$. The J-integral in (3.4) is absolutely convergent.

When $L_+(\boldsymbol{\varrho})\neq\emptyset$ and $L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})=\emptyset$, we choose $l_+\in L_+(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ and define $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})=\mathcal{P}^A_{-,l_+}(J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho}))$. This is a linear combination of

$$[\nu_{l_+}-1]_{\alpha_3}x^{-A+\alpha_1}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}-A\boldsymbol{e}^d-\alpha_1\boldsymbol{e}_{l_+}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};t_{l_+}^{\alpha_2}\partial_{l_+}^{\alpha_2}h_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}\big), \tag{3.5}$$

with $\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3\leqslant A$. The J-integral in (3.5) is again absolutely convergent.

Finally, when both $L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ and $L_+(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ are empty, we put $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})=J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$.

LEMMA 3.3. *The definition of $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ is independent of A and the choice of $l_+\in L_+(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$.*

PROOF. We shall treat the case when both $L_+(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ and $L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ are nonempty. The other cases are similar and simpler.

Starting from $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ defined with A, we conduct the following operations in succession for all $l\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$: $\mathcal{P}_{+,l}$ twice and then $\mathcal{P}_{-,l_+}$ once, twice or three times on each resulting J-integral so that the increment of the l-th index is exactly one. In this way, one arrives at $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ defined with $A+1$. In view of the assumption $A\geqslant a+2$, absolute convergence is maintained at each step due to Lemma 3.2 (1). Moreover, under our circumstances, the operations $\mathcal{P}_{+,l}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{-,l_+}$ are actual partial integrations (Lemma 3.2 (2)), so the value is preserved in the process. In conclusion, $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ is independent of A.

Suppose $l_+,k_+\in L_+(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$. Repeating the process described in the last paragraph A times, but with l_+ replaced by k_+, $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ defined with l_+ turns into a sum of integrals of an expression symmetric about l_+ and k_+. Interchanging l_+ and k_+ throughout the arguments above, $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ defined with k_+ is transformed into the same sum of integrals. Thus we conclude that $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ is independent of the choice of l_+. Q.E.D.

Putting these together, we define

$$\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}\in I^d}\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho}),$$

and call $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ the *rigorous interpretation* of $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$. The definition of $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ is clearly independent of the partition of unity $\{h_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}\}_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}\in I}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Uniform convergence of the J-integrals in (3.3, 3.4, 3.5) with respect to $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ implies that $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ is an analytic function of $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ on $\mathbb{S}_a^d$ and hence on the whole $\mathbb{C}^d$ since a was arbitrary. Moreover, for any nonnegative integer j, if one chooses $A \geqslant a + j + 2$, differentiating j times under the integral sign for the J-integrals in (3.3, 3.4, 3.5) is legitimate. Therefore, $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ is a smooth function of x.

Henceforth, with ambiguity, we shall write $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ and $\mathbb{J}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ as $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ and $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ respectively.

4. Equality between $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ and $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$

The goal of this section is to prove that the Bessel function $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is indeed equal to the rigorous interpretation of its formal integral representation $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{L}^d$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}^d$ satisfy $\nu_l = \lambda_l - \lambda_{d+1}$, $l = 1, ..., d$. Then*

$$J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}).$$

To prove this proposition, one first needs to know how the iterated integral $\Upsilon(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ given in (2.10) is interpreted (compare [**MS1**, §6] and [**MS3**, §5]).

Suppose that $\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_1 > ... > \mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_d > \mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_{d+1}$. Let $\upsilon \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be a Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Define

$$\Upsilon_{d+1}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \upsilon(y) y^{-\lambda_{d+1}} e(\varsigma_{d+1} xy) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{4.1}$$

and for each $l = 1, ..., d$ recursively define

$$\Upsilon_l(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Upsilon_{l+1}(y;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})\, y^{\lambda_l - \lambda_{l+1} - 1} e\left(\varsigma_l x y^{-1}\right) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{4.2}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_1 > ... > \mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_d > \mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_{d+1}$. Recall the definition of $\mathscr{T}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ given in Definition* 3.1*, and define the space $\mathscr{T}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ of all functions in $\mathscr{T}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ that decay rapidly at infinity, along with all their derivatives. Then $\Upsilon_l(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) \in \mathscr{T}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for each $l = 1, ..., d+1$.*

Proof. In the case $l = d+1$, $\Upsilon_{d+1}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ is the Fourier transform of a Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}$ (supported in $\mathbb{R}_+$) and hence is actually a Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}$. In particular, $\Upsilon_{d+1}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) \in \mathscr{T}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$. One may also prove this directly via performing partial integration and differentiation under the integral sign on the integral in (4.1).

Suppose that $\Upsilon_{l+1}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) \in \mathscr{T}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$. The condition $\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_l > \mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_{l+1}$ secures the convergence of the integral in (4.2). Partial integration has the effect of dividing $\varsigma_l 2\pi i x$ and results in an integral of the same type but with *the power of y raised by one*, so repeating this yields the rapid decay of $\Upsilon_l(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$. Moreover, differentiation under the integral sign *decreases the power of y by one*, so multiple differentiating $\Upsilon_l(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ is legitimate after repeated partial integrations. From these, it is straightforward to prove that $\Upsilon_l(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) \in \mathscr{T}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Finally, keeping repeating partial integrations yields the rapid decay of all the derivatives of $\Upsilon_l(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$. Q.E.D.

The change of variables from y to xy in (4.2) yields

$$\Upsilon_l(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Upsilon_{l+1}(xy;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})\, x^{\lambda_l-\lambda_{l+1}} y^{\lambda_l-\lambda_{l+1}-1} e\left(\varsigma_l y^{-1}\right) dy.$$

Some calculations then show that $\Upsilon_1(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ is equal to the iterated integral

$$x^{\nu_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \upsilon(y)\, y^{-\lambda_{d+1}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{d} y_l^{\nu_l-1}\right) e\left(\varsigma_{d+1} xyy_1...y_d + \sum_{l=1}^{d} \varsigma_l y_l^{-1}\right) dydy_d...dy_1. \tag{4.3}$$

Comparing (4.3) with (2.10), one sees that $\Upsilon(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = x^{-\lambda_1}\Upsilon_1(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$.

The (actual) partial integration $\mathcal{P}_l$ on the integral over dy_l is in correspondence with $\mathcal{P}_{+,l}$, whereas the partial integration $\mathcal{P}_{d+1}$ on the integral over dy has the similar effect as $\mathcal{P}_{-,l_+}$ of decreasing the powers of all the y_l by one. These observations are crucial to our proof of Proposition 4.1 as follows.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Suppose that $\Re\mathfrak{e}\,\lambda_1 > ... > \Re\mathfrak{e}\,\lambda_d > \Re\mathfrak{e}\,\lambda_{d+1}$. We first partition the integral over dy_l in (4.3), for each $l = 1, ..., d$, into a sum of integrals according to a partition of unity $\{h_\varrho^\circ\}_{\varrho\in I}$ of $\mathbb{R}_+$. These partitions result in a partition of the integral (4.3) into the sum

$$\Upsilon_1(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}\in I^d} \Upsilon_1(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho}),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon_1(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho}) = x^{\nu_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \upsilon(y)\, y^{-\lambda_{d+1}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{d} h_{\varrho_l}^\circ(y_l) y_l^{\nu_l-1}\right) \\ e\left(\varsigma_{d+1} xyy_1...y_d + \sum_{l=1}^{d} \varsigma_l y_l^{-1}\right) dydy_d...dy_1.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

We now conduct the operations in §3.3 with $\mathcal{P}_{+,l}$ replaced by $\mathcal{P}_l$ and $\mathcal{P}_{-,l_+}$ by $\mathcal{P}_{d+1}$ to each integral $\Upsilon_1(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ defined in (4.4). While preserving the value, these partial integrations turn the iterated integral $\Upsilon_1(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho})$ into an absolutely convergent multiple integral. We are then able to move the innermost integral over dy to the outermost place. The integral over $dy_d...dy_1$ now becomes the inner integral. Making the change of variables $y_l = t_l(xy)^{-\frac{1}{d+1}}$ to the inner integral over $dy_d...dy_1$, the partial integration $\mathcal{P}_l$ that we did turns into $\mathcal{P}_{+,l}$. By the same arguments in the proof of Lemma 3.3 showing that $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ is independent of the choice of $l_+ \in L_+(\boldsymbol{\varrho})$, the operations of $\mathcal{P}_{d+1}$ that we conducted at the beginning may be reversed and substituted by those of $\mathcal{P}_{-,l_+}$. It follows that the inner integral over $dy_d...dy_1$ is equal to $x^{\lambda_1}\upsilon(y)J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\big(2\pi(xy)^{\frac{1}{d+1}};\boldsymbol{\varsigma};\boldsymbol{\varrho}\big)$, with $h_\varrho(t) = h_\varrho^\circ\big(t(xy)^{-\frac{1}{d+1}}\big)$. Summing over $\boldsymbol{\varrho}\in I^d$, we conclude that

$$\Upsilon(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = x^{-\lambda_1}\Upsilon_1(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \int_0^\infty \upsilon(y) J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\big(2\pi(xy)^{\frac{1}{d+1}};\boldsymbol{\varsigma}\big) dy.$$

Therefore, in view of (2.4), we have $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$. This equality holds true universally due to the principle of analytic continuation. Q.E.D.

In view of Proposition 4.1, we shall subsequently assume that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{L}^d$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}^d$ satisfy the relations $\nu_l = \lambda_l - \lambda_{d+1}$, $l = 1, ..., d$.

5. H-Bessel functions and K-Bessel functions

According to Proposition 2.7, $J_{2\lambda}(x; \pm, \pm) = J(x; \pm, \pm, \lambda, -\lambda)$ is a Hankel function, and $J_{2\lambda}(x; \pm, \mp) = J(x; \pm, \mp, \lambda, -\lambda)$ is a K-Bessel function. There is a remarkable difference between the behaviours of Hankel functions and the K-Bessel function for large argument. The Hankel functions oscillate and decay proportionally to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$, whereas the K-Bessel function exponentially decays. On the other hand, such phenomena persist in higher rank for the prototypical example shown in Proposition 2.9.

In the following, we shall show that such a categorization stands in general for the Bessel functions $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ of an arbitrary index $\boldsymbol{\nu}$. For this, we shall analyze each integral $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}; \boldsymbol{\varrho})$ in the rigorous interpretation of $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ using *the method of stationary phase*.

First of all, the asymptotic behaviour of $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ for large argument should rely on the existence of a stationary point of the phase function $\theta(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$. We have

$$\theta'(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \left(\varsigma_{d+1} t_1 ... \widehat{t_l} ... t_d - \varsigma_l t_l^{-2}\right)_{l=1}^d.$$

A stationary point of $\theta(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ exists in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ if and only if $\varsigma_1 = ... = \varsigma_d = \varsigma_{d+1}$; when existing it is equal to $\boldsymbol{t}_0 = (1, ..., 1)$.

Terminology 5.1. *We write $H^{\pm}_{\nu}(x) = J_{\nu}(x; \pm, ..., \pm)$, $H^{\pm}(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = J(x; \pm, ...\pm, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and call them H-Bessel functions. If two of the signs $\varsigma_1, ..., \varsigma_d, \varsigma_{d+1}$ are different, then $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma})$, or $J(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, is called a K-Bessel function.*

Preparations. We shall retain the notations in §3. Moreover, for our purpose we choose a partition of unity $\{h_{\varrho}\}_{\varrho \in \{-,0,+\}}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that h_-, h_0 and h_+ are functions in $\mathscr{T}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ supported on $K_- = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, $K_0 = \left[\frac{1}{4}, 4\right]$ and $K_+ = [2, \infty)$ respectively. Put $K_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}} = \prod_{l=1}^d K_{\varrho_l}$ and $h_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}(\boldsymbol{t}) = \prod_{l=1}^d h_{\varrho_l}(t_l)$ for $\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \{-, 0, +\}^d$. Note that $\boldsymbol{t}_0$ is enclosed in the central hypercube $K_{\mathbf{0}}$. According to this partition of unity, $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ is partitioned into the sum of 3^d integrals $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}; \boldsymbol{\varrho})$. In view of (3.3, 3.4, 3.5), $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}; \boldsymbol{\varrho})$ is a $\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}]$-linear combination of absolutely convergent J-integrals of the form

$$J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}; h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} h(\boldsymbol{t}) p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'}(\boldsymbol{t}) e^{ix\theta(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{\varsigma})} d\boldsymbol{t}. \tag{5.1}$$

Here $h \in \bigotimes^d \mathscr{T}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is supported in $K_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}$, $\boldsymbol{\nu}' \in \boldsymbol{\nu} + \mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfies

$$\mathfrak{Re}\, \nu'_l - \mathfrak{Re}\, \nu_l \geqslant A \text{ if } l \in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varrho}), \text{ and } \mathfrak{Re}\, \nu'_l - \mathfrak{Re}\, \nu_l \leqslant -A \text{ if } l \in L_+(\boldsymbol{\varrho}), \tag{5.2}$$

with $A > \max\{|\mathfrak{Re}\, \nu_l|\} + 2$.

5.1. Estimates for $J_\nu(x;\varsigma;\varrho)$ with $\varrho \neq \mathbf{0}$. Let

$$\Theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \sum_{l=1}^{d} (t_l \partial_l \theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma}))^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{d} \left(\varsigma_{d+1} t_1 ... t_d - \varsigma_l t_l^{-1}\right)^2. \tag{5.3}$$

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $\varrho \neq \mathbf{0}$. We have for all $\boldsymbol{t} \in K_\varrho$*

$$\Theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) \geqslant \frac{1}{16}.$$

PROOF. Instead, we shall prove

$$\max\left\{ \left|\varsigma_{d+1} t_1 ... t_d - \varsigma_l t_l^{-1}\right| : \boldsymbol{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d \smallsetminus K_{\mathbf{0}} \text{ and } l = 1, ..., d \right\} \geqslant \frac{1}{4}.$$

Firstly, if $t_1 ... t_d < \frac{3}{4}$, then there exists $t_l < 1$ and hence $\left|\varsigma_{d+1} t_1 ... t_d - \varsigma_l t_l^{-1}\right| > 1 - \frac{3}{4} = \frac{1}{4}$. Similarly, if $t_1 ... t_d > \frac{7}{4}$, then there exists $t_l > 1$ and hence $\left|\varsigma_{d+1} t_1 ... t_d - \varsigma_l t_l^{-1}\right| > \frac{7}{4} - 1 > \frac{1}{4}$. Finally, suppose that $\frac{3}{4} \leqslant t_1 ... t_d \leqslant \frac{7}{4}$, then for our choice of $\boldsymbol{t}$ there exists l such that $t_l \notin \left(\frac{1}{2}, 2\right)$, and therefore we still have $\left|\varsigma_{d+1} t_1 ... t_d - \varsigma_l t_l^{-1}\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{4}$. Q.E.D.

Using (5.3), we rewrite the J-integral $J_{\nu'}(x;\varsigma;h)$ in (5.1) as below,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} h(\boldsymbol{t}) \left(\varsigma_{d+1} p_{\nu'+\boldsymbol{e}^d+\boldsymbol{e}_l}(\boldsymbol{t}) - \varsigma_l p_{\nu'}(\boldsymbol{t})\right) \Theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma})^{-1} \cdot \partial_l \theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) e^{ix\theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma})} d\boldsymbol{t}. \tag{5.4}$$

We now make use of the *third* kind of partial integrations arising from

$$\partial\left(e^{ix\theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}\right) = ix \cdot \partial_l \theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) e^{ix\theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma})} \partial t_l.$$

For the l-th integral in (5.4), we apply the corresponding partial integration of the third kind. In this way, (5.4) turns into

$$\begin{aligned}
&- (ix)^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} t_l \partial_l h \left(\varsigma_{d+1} p_{\nu'+\boldsymbol{e}^d} - \varsigma_l p_{\nu'-\boldsymbol{e}_l}\right) \Theta^{-1} e^{ix\theta} d\boldsymbol{t} \\
&- (ix)^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} h \left(\varsigma_{d+1}(\nu_l'+1) p_{\nu'+\boldsymbol{e}^d} - \varsigma_l(\nu_l'-1) p_{\nu'-\boldsymbol{e}_l}\right) \Theta^{-1} e^{ix\theta} d\boldsymbol{t} \\
&+ \varsigma_{d+1} 2d^2 (ix)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} h p_{\nu'+3\boldsymbol{e}^d} \Theta^{-2} e^{ix\theta} d\boldsymbol{t} \\
&+ 2(ix)^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} h\big(\varsigma_l(1-2d) p_{\nu'+2\boldsymbol{e}^d-\boldsymbol{e}_l} \\
&\qquad\qquad - \varsigma_{d+1} p_{\nu'+\boldsymbol{e}^d-2\boldsymbol{e}_l} + \varsigma_l p_{\nu'-3\boldsymbol{e}_l}\big) \Theta^{-2} e^{ix\theta} d\boldsymbol{t} \\
&+ 4(ix)^{-1} \sum_{1 \leqslant l < k \leqslant d} \varsigma_{d+1} \varsigma_l \varsigma_k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} h p_{\nu'+\boldsymbol{e}^d-\boldsymbol{e}_l-\boldsymbol{e}_k} \Theta^{-2} e^{ix\theta} d\boldsymbol{t},
\end{aligned}$$

where Θ and θ are the shorthand notations for $\Theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ and $\theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$. Since the shifts of indices do not exceed 3, it follows from the condition (5.2), combined with Lemma 5.2, that all the integrals above absolutely converge provided $A > \mathfrak{r} + 3$.

Repeating the above manipulations, we obtain the following lemma by a straightforward inductive argument.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let B be a nonnegative integer, and choose $A = \lfloor \mathfrak{r} \rfloor + 3B + 3$. Then $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}; h)$ is equal to a linear combination of $\left(\frac{1}{2}(d^2-d)+7d+1\right)^B$ many absolutely convergent integrals of the following form*

$$(ix)^{-B} P(\boldsymbol{\nu}') \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} \boldsymbol{t}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} h(\boldsymbol{t}) p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}''}(\boldsymbol{t}) \Theta(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{\varsigma})^{-B-B_2} e^{ix\theta(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{\varsigma})} d\boldsymbol{t},$$

where $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| + B_1 + B_2 = B$ ($\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^d$), P is a polynomial of degree B_1 and integer coefficients of size $O_{B,d}(1)$, and $\boldsymbol{\nu}'' \in \boldsymbol{\nu}' + \mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfies $|\nu_l'' - \nu_l'| \leqslant B + 2B_2$ for all $l = 1, ..., d$. Recall that in the multi-index notation $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = \sum_{l=1}^d \alpha_l$, $\boldsymbol{t}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \prod_{l=1}^d t_l^{\alpha_l}$ and $\partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \prod_{l=1}^d \partial_l^{\alpha_l}$.

Define $\mathfrak{c} = \max\{|\nu_l|\} + 1$ and $\mathfrak{r} = \max\{|\Re\mathfrak{e}\, \nu_l|\}$. Suppose that $x \geqslant \mathfrak{c}$. Applying Lemma 5.3 and 5.2 to the J-integrals in (3.3, 3.4, 3.5), one obtains the estimate

$$J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}; \boldsymbol{\varrho}) \ll_{\mathfrak{r},M,d} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{c}}{x}\right)^M,$$

for any given nonnegative integer M. Slight modifications of the above arguments yield a similar estimate for the derivative

$$J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{(j)}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}; \boldsymbol{\varrho}) \ll_{\mathfrak{r},M,j,d} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{c}}{x}\right)^M. \tag{5.5}$$

REMARK 5.4. *Our proof of* (5.5) *is similar to that of* [**Hör**, Theorem 7.7.1]. *Indeed, $\Theta(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ plays the same role as $|f'|^2 + \Im\mathfrak{m}\, f$ in the proof of* [**Hör**, Theorem 7.7.1], *where f is the phase function there. Observe that the noncompactness of $K_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}$ prohibits the application of* [**Hör**, Theorem 7.7.1] *to the J-integral in* (5.1) *in our case.*

5.2. Rapid decay of K-Bessel functions. Suppose that there exists $k \in \{1, ..., d\}$ such that $\varsigma_k \neq \varsigma_{d+1}$. Then for any $\boldsymbol{t} \in K_{\mathbf{0}}$

$$\left|\varsigma_{d+1} t_1 ... t_d - \varsigma_k t_k^{-1}\right| > t_k^{-1} \geqslant \frac{1}{4}.$$

Similar to the arguments in §5.1, repeating the k-th partial integration of the third kind yields the same bound (5.5) in the case $\boldsymbol{\varrho} = \mathbf{0}$.

REMARK 5.5. *For this, we may also directly apply* [**Hör**, Theorem 7.7.1].

THEOREM 5.6. *Let $\mathfrak{c} = \max\{|\nu_l|\} + 1$ and $\mathfrak{r} = \max\{|\Re\mathfrak{e}\, \nu_l|\}$. Let j and M be nonnegative integers. Suppose that one of signs $\varsigma_1, ..., \varsigma_d$ is different from ς_{d+1}. Then*

$$J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{(j)}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}) \ll_{\mathfrak{r},M,j,d} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{c}}{x}\right)^M$$

for any $x \geqslant \mathfrak{c}$. In particular, $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ is a Schwartz function at infinity, namely, all the derivatives $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{(j)}(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ rapidly decay at infinity.

5.3. Asymptotic expansions of H-Bessel functions. In the following, we shall adopt the convention $(\pm i)^a = e^{\pm\frac{1}{2}i\pi a}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

We first introduce the function $W_\nu^\pm(x)$, which is closely related to the Whittaker function of imaginary argument if $d = 1$ (see [**WW**, §17.5, 17.6]), defined by

$$W_\nu^\pm(x) = (d+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\pm 2\pi i)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{\mp i(d+1)x} H_\nu^\pm(x).$$

Write $H_\nu^\pm(x;\varrho) = J_\nu(x;\pm,...,\pm;\varrho)$ and define

$$W_\nu^\pm(x;\varrho) = (d+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\pm 2\pi i)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{\mp i(d+1)x} H_\nu^\pm(x;\varrho).$$

For $\varrho \neq \mathbf{0}$, the bound (5.5) for $H_\nu^\pm(x;\varrho)$ is also valid for $W_\nu^\pm(x;\varrho)$. Therefore, we are left with analyzing $W_\nu^\pm(x;\mathbf{0})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} W_\nu^{\pm,(j)}(x;\mathbf{0}) &= (d+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\pm 2\pi i)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\pm i)^j \\ &\int_{K_\mathbf{0}} (\theta(\boldsymbol{t}) - d - 1)^j \, h_\mathbf{0}(\boldsymbol{t}) p_\nu(\boldsymbol{t}) e^{\pm i x(\theta(\boldsymbol{t})-d-1)} d\boldsymbol{t}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

with

$$\theta(\boldsymbol{t}) = \theta(\boldsymbol{t};+,...,+) = t_1...t_d + \sum_{l=1}^{d} t_l^{-1}. \tag{5.7}$$

Proposition 5.7. [**Hör**, Theorem 7.7.5]. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact set, X an open neighbourhood of K and M a nonnegative integer. If $u(\boldsymbol{t}) \in C_0^{2M}(K)$, $f(\boldsymbol{t}) \in C^{3M+1}(X)$ and $\Im\mathrm{m}\, f \geqslant 0$ in X, $\Im\mathrm{m}\, f(\boldsymbol{t}_0) = 0$, $f'(\boldsymbol{t}_0) = 0$, $\det f''(\boldsymbol{t}_0) \neq 0$ and $f' \neq 0$ in $K \smallsetminus \{\boldsymbol{t}_0\}$, then for $x > 0$*

$$\left| \int_K u(\boldsymbol{t}) e^{ixf(\boldsymbol{t})} d\boldsymbol{t} - e^{ixf(\boldsymbol{t}_0)} \left((2\pi i)^{-d} \det f''(\boldsymbol{t}_0)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} x^{-m-\frac{d}{2}} \mathcal{L}_m u \right| \ll x^{-M} \sum_{|\alpha|\leqslant 2M} \sup |D^\alpha u| .$$

Here the implied constant depends only on M, f, K and d. With

$$g(\boldsymbol{t}) = f(\boldsymbol{t}) - f(\boldsymbol{t}_0) - \frac{1}{2}\langle f''(\boldsymbol{t}_0)(\boldsymbol{t}-\boldsymbol{t}_0), \boldsymbol{t}-\boldsymbol{t}_0\rangle$$

which vanishes of third order at $\boldsymbol{t}_0$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_m u = i^{-m} \sum_{r=0}^{2m} \frac{1}{2^{m+r}(m+r)!r!} \left\langle f''(\boldsymbol{t}_0)^{-1} D, D\right\rangle^{m+r} (g^r u)(\boldsymbol{t}_0).^{\text{VIII}}$$

This is a differential operator of order $2m$ acting on u at $\boldsymbol{t}_0$. The coefficients are rational homogeneous functions of degree $-m$ in $f''(\boldsymbol{t}_0)$, ..., $f^{(2m+2)}(\boldsymbol{t}_0)$ with denominator $(\det f''(\boldsymbol{t}_0))^{3m}$. In every term the total number of derivatives of u and of f'' is at most $2m$.

[VIII] According to Hörmander, $D = -i(\partial_1, ..., \partial_d)$.

We now apply Proposition 5.7 to the integral in (5.6). For this, we let

$$K = K_{\mathbf{0}} = \left[\tfrac{1}{4}, 4\right]^d, \quad X = \left(\tfrac{1}{5}, 5\right)^d,$$

$$f(\boldsymbol{t}) = \pm\left(\theta(\boldsymbol{t}) - d - 1\right), \quad f'(\boldsymbol{t}) = \pm\left(t_1 ... \widehat{t_l} ... t_d - t_l^{-2}\right)_{l=1}^d, \quad \boldsymbol{t}_0 = (1, ..., 1),$$

$$f''(\boldsymbol{t}_0) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det f''(\boldsymbol{t}_0) = (\pm)^d (d+1), \quad g(\boldsymbol{t}) = \pm G(\boldsymbol{t}),$$

$$f''(\boldsymbol{t}_0)^{-1} = \pm \frac{1}{d+1} \begin{pmatrix} d & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ -1 & d & \cdots & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & -1 & \cdots & d \end{pmatrix},$$

$$u(\boldsymbol{t}) = (d+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\pm 2\pi i)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\pm i)^j \left(\theta(\boldsymbol{t}) - d - 1\right)^j p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{t}) h_{\mathbf{0}}(\boldsymbol{t}),$$

with

$$G(\boldsymbol{t}) = t_1 ... t_d + \sum_{l=1}^d \left(-t_l^2 + (d+1)t_l + t_l^{-1}\right) - \sum_{1 \leqslant l < k \leqslant d} t_l t_k - \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2}. \tag{5.8}$$

Proposition 5.7 yields the following asymptotic expansion of $W_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm,(j)}(x; \mathbf{0})$,

$$W_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm,(j)}(x; \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} (\pm i)^{j-m} B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) x^{-m-\frac{d}{2}} + O_{\mathfrak{r},M,j,d}\left(\mathfrak{c}^{2M} x^{-M}\right), \quad x > 0,$$

with

$$B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = \sum_{r=0}^{2m} \frac{(-)^{m+r} \mathcal{L}^{m+r} \left(G^r (\theta - d - 1)^j p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\right)(\boldsymbol{t}_0)}{(2(d+1))^{m+r} (m+r)! r!}, \tag{5.9}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the second-order differential operator given by

$$\mathcal{L} = d \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_l^2 - 2 \sum_{1 \leqslant l < k \leqslant d} \partial_l \partial_k. \tag{5.10}$$

LEMMA 5.8. *We have $B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = 0$ if $m < j$. Otherwise, $B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \in \mathbb{Q}[\boldsymbol{\nu}]$ is a symmetric polynomial of degree $2m - 2j$. In particular, $B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \ll_{m,j,d} \mathfrak{c}^{2m-2j}$ for $m \geqslant j$.*

PROOF. The symmetry of $B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ is clear from definition. Moreover, since $\theta - d - 1$ vanishes of second order at $\boldsymbol{t}_0$, $2j$ many derivatives are required to remove the zero of $(\theta - d - 1)^j$ at $\boldsymbol{t}_0$. From this, along with the descriptions of the differential operator $\mathcal{L}_m$ in Proposition 5.7, one proves the lemma. Q.E.D.

Furthermore, in view of the bound (5.5), the total contribution to $W_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm,(j)}(x)$ from all the $W_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm,(j)}(x; \boldsymbol{\varrho})$ with $\boldsymbol{\varrho} \neq \mathbf{0}$ is of size $O_{\mathfrak{r},M,j,d}\left(\mathfrak{c}^M x^{-M}\right)$ and hence may be absorbed into the error term in the asymptotic expansion of $W_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm,(j)}(x; \mathbf{0})$.

In conclusion, the following proposition is established.

PROPOSITION 5.9. *Let M, j be nonnegative integers such that $M \geqslant j$. Then for $x \geqslant \mathfrak{c}$*

$$W_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm,(j)}(x) = \sum_{m=j}^{M-1} (\pm i)^{j-m} B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) x^{-m-\frac{d}{2}} + O_{\mathfrak{r},M,j,d}\left(\mathfrak{c}^{2M} x^{-M}\right).$$

COROLLARY 5.10. *Let N, j be nonnegative integers such that $N \geqslant j$, and let $\epsilon > 0$.*
(1). *We have $W_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm,(j)}(x) \ll_{\mathfrak{r},j,d} \mathfrak{c}^{2j} x^{-j}$ for $x \geqslant \mathfrak{c}$.*
(2). *If $x \geqslant \mathfrak{c}^{2+\epsilon}$, then*

$$W_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm,(j)}(x) = \sum_{m=j}^{N-1} (\pm i)^{j-m} B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) x^{-m-\frac{d}{2}} + O_{\mathfrak{r},N,j,\epsilon,d}\left(\mathfrak{c}^{2N} x^{-N-\frac{d}{2}}\right).$$

PROOF. On letting $M = j$, Proposition 5.9 implies (1). On choosing M sufficiently large so that $(2+\epsilon)\left(M - N + \frac{d}{2}\right) \geqslant 2(M-N)$, Proposition 5.9 and Lemma 5.8 yield

$$\begin{aligned} &W_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm,(j)}(x) - \sum_{m=j}^{N-1} (\pm i)^{j-m} B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) x^{-m-\frac{d}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{m=N}^{M-1} (\pm i)^{j-m} B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) x^{-m-\frac{d}{2}} + O_{\mathfrak{r},j,M,d}\left(\mathfrak{c}^{2M} x^{-M}\right) = O_{\mathfrak{r},j,N,\epsilon,d}\left(\mathfrak{c}^{2N} x^{-N-\frac{d}{2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Finally, the asymptotic expansion of $H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})(= H_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm}(x))$ is formulated as below.

THEOREM 5.11. *Let $\mathfrak{C} = \max\{|\lambda_l|\}+1$ and $\mathfrak{R} = \max\{|\Re\mathfrak{e}\,\lambda_l|\}$. Let M be a nonnegative integer.*
(1). *Define $W^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sqrt{n}(\pm 2\pi i)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\mp inx} H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Let $M \geqslant j \geqslant 0$. Then*

$$W^{\pm,(j)}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sum_{m=j}^{M-1} (\pm i)^{j-m} B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) x^{-m-\frac{n-1}{2}} + O_{\mathfrak{R},M,j,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M} x^{-M}\right)$$

for all $x \geqslant \mathfrak{C}$. Here $B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{Q}[\boldsymbol{\lambda}]$ is a symmetric polynomial in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ of degree $2m$, with $B_{0,0}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 1$. The coefficients of $B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ depends only on m, j and d.
(2). *Let $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = B_{m,0}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Then for $x \geqslant \mathfrak{C}$*

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\pm 2\pi i)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\pm inx} x^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \\ \left(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} (\pm i)^{-m} B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) x^{-m} + O_{\mathfrak{R},M,d}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M} x^{-M+\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. This theorem is a direct consequence of Proposition 5.9 and Lemma 5.8. It is only left to verify the symmetry of $B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Indeed, in view of (2.3, 2.5), $H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is symmetric with respect to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, so $B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ must be represented by a symmetric polynomial in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ modulo $\sum_{l=1}^{d+1} \lambda_l$. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 5.12. *Let M be a nonnegative integer, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then for $x \geqslant \mathfrak{C}^{2+\epsilon}$*

$$H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\pm 2\pi i)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\pm inx} x^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} (\pm i)^{-m} B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) x^{-m} + O_{\mathfrak{R},M,\epsilon,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M} x^{-M}\right)\right).$$

5.4. Concluding remarks.

5.4.1. *On the analytic continuations of H-Bessel functions.* Our observation is that the phase function θ defined by (5.7) is always positive on $\mathbb{R}_+^d$. It follows that if one replaces x by $z = xe^{i\omega}$, with $x > 0$ and $0 \leqslant \pm\omega \leqslant \pi$, then the various integrals in the rigorous interpretation of $H_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm}(z)$ remains absolutely convergent, uniformly with respect to z, since $\left|e^{\pm iz\theta(\boldsymbol{t})}\right| = e^{\mp x\sin\omega\,\theta(\boldsymbol{t})} \leqslant 1$. Therefore, the resulting integral $H_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm}(z)$ gives rise to an analytic continuation of $H_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm}(x)$ onto the half-plane $\mathbb{H}^{\pm} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : 0 \leqslant \pm\arg z \leqslant \pi\}$. In view of Proposition 4.1, one may define $H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = H_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm}(z)$ and regard it as the analytic continuation of $H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ from $\mathbb{R}_+$ onto $\mathbb{H}^{\pm}$. Furthermore, with slight modifications of the arguments above, where the phase function f is chosen to be $\pm e^{i\omega}(\theta - d - 1)$ in the application of Proposition 5.7, the domain of validity for the asymptotic expansions in Theorem 5.11 may be extended from $\mathbb{R}_+$ onto $\mathbb{H}^{\pm}$. For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\pm 2\pi i)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\pm inz} z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \\ &\left(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} (\pm i)^{-m} B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) z^{-m} + O_{\Re,M,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M}|z|^{-M+\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}^{\pm}$ such that $|z| \geqslant \mathfrak{C}$.

Obviously, the above method of obtaining the analytic continuation of $H_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\pm}$ does not apply to K-Bessel functions.

5.4.2. *On the asymptotic of the Bessel kernel $J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}$.* As in (2.7), $J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}(\pm x)$ is a combination of $J\left(2\pi x^{\frac{1}{n}};\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right)$, and hence its asymptotic follows immediately from Theorem 5.6 and 5.11. For convenience of reference, we record the asyptotic of $J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}(\pm x)$ in the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.13. *Let $(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta}) \in \mathbb{L}^{n-1} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$. Define $c^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\delta}) = (\pm)^{\sum\delta_l} e\left(\pm\frac{n-1}{8}\right) n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Let $M \geqslant j \geqslant 0$. Then, for $x > 0$, we may write*

$$\begin{aligned} J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}\left(x^n\right) &= \sum_{\pm} c^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\delta}) e\left(\pm nx\right) W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\pm}(x) + E_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}^{+}(x), \\ J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}\left(-x^n\right) &= E_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}^{-}(x), \end{aligned}$$

if n is even, and

$$J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}\left(\pm x^n\right) = c^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\delta}) e\left(\pm nx\right) W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\pm}(x) + E_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}^{\pm}(x),$$

if n is odd, such that

$$W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\pm,(j)}(x) = \sum_{m=j}^{M-1} B_{m,j}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) x^{-m-\frac{n-1}{2}} + O_{\Re,M,j,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M} x^{-M}\right),$$

and

$$E_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}^{\pm,(j)}(x) = O_{\Re,M,j,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{M} x^{-M}\right),$$

for $x \geqslant \mathfrak{C}$. With the notations in Theorem 5.11, *we have $W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\pm}(x) = (2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} W^{\pm}(2\pi x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $B_{m,j}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (\pm 2\pi i)^{j-m} B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.*

5.4.3. *On the implied constants of estimates.* All the implied constants that occur in this section are of exponential dependence on the real parts of indices. If one considers the d-th symmetric lift of a holomorphic Hecke cusp form of weight k, the estimates are particularly awful in the k aspect.

In §6 and §7, we shall further explore the theory of Bessel functions from the perspective of differential equations. Consequently, if the argument is sufficiently large, then all the estimates in this section can be improved so that the dependence on the index can be completely eliminated.

5.4.4. *On the coefficients in the asymptotics.* One feature of the method of stationary phase is the explicit formula of the coefficients in the asymptotic expansion in terms of certain partial differential operators. In the present case of $H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = H^{\pm}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x)$, (5.9) provides an explicit formula of $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = B_{m,0}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$. To compute $\mathcal{L}^{m+r}\left(G^r p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\right)(\boldsymbol{t}_0)$ appearing in (5.9), we observe that the function G defined in (5.8) does not only vanish of third order at $\boldsymbol{t}_0$. Actually, $\partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} G(\boldsymbol{t}_0)$ vanishes except for $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (0,...,0,\alpha,0...,0)$, with $\alpha \geqslant 3$. In the exceptional case one has $\partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} G(\boldsymbol{t}_0) = (-)^{\alpha}\alpha!$. However, the resulted expression is considerably complicated.

When $d = 1$, $\mathcal{L} = (d/dt)^2$, and hence for $2m \geqslant r \geqslant 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(d/dt)^{2m+2r}\left(G^r p_{\nu}\right)(1)\\
&= (2m+2r)!\sum_{\alpha=0}^{2m-r}\left|\left\{(\alpha_1,...,\alpha_r) : \sum_{q=1}^{r}\alpha_q = 2m+2r-\alpha, \alpha_q \geqslant 3\right\}\right| \frac{(-)^{\alpha}[\nu-1]_{\alpha}}{\alpha!}\\
&= (2m+2r)!\sum_{\alpha=0}^{2m-r}\binom{2m-\alpha-1}{r-1}\frac{(1-\nu)_{\alpha}}{\alpha!}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (5.9) yields

$$B_{m,0}(\nu) = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^m\left(\frac{(1-\nu)_{2m}}{m!} + \sum_{r=1}^{2m}\frac{(-)^r(2m+2r)!}{4^r(m+r)!r!}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{2m-r}\binom{2m-\alpha-1}{r-1}\frac{(1-\nu)_{\alpha}}{\alpha!}\right).$$

However, this expression of $B_{m,0}(\nu)$ is more involved than what is known in the literature. Indeed, one has the asymptotic expansions of $H^{(1)}_{\nu}$ and $H^{(2)}_{\nu}$ ([**Wat**, 7.2 (1, 2)])

$$H^{(1,2)}_{\nu}(x) \sim \left(\frac{2}{\pi x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\pm i\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\nu\pi-\frac{1}{4}\pi\right)}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\pm)^m\left(\frac{1}{2}-\nu\right)_m\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu\right)_m}{m!(2ix)^m}\right),$$

which are deducible from Hankel's integral representations ([**Wat**, 6.12 (3, 4)]). In view of Proposition 2.7 and Theorem 5.11, we have

$$B_{m,0}(\nu) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\nu\right)_m\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu\right)_m}{4^m m!}.$$

Therefore, we deduce the following combinatoric identity

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(-)^m\left(\frac{1}{2}-\nu\right)_m\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu\right)_m}{m!} &= \frac{(1-\nu)_{2m}}{m!} \\ &+ \sum_{r=1}^{2m}\frac{(-)^r(2m+2r)!}{4^r(m+r)!r!}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{2m-r}\binom{2m-\alpha-1}{r-1}\frac{(1-\nu)_\alpha}{\alpha!}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

It seems however hard to find an elementary proof of this identity.

6. Recurrence formulae and differential equations for Bessel functions

Making use of certain recurrence formulae for $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$, we shall derive the differential equation satisfied by $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

6.1. The recurrence formulae. Applying the formal partial integrations of either the first or the second kind and the differentiation under the integral sign on the formal integral expression of $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ in (3.1), one obtains the recurrence formulae

$$\nu_l(ix)^{-1}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \varsigma_l J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}-\boldsymbol{e}_l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) - \varsigma_{d+1}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^d}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) \tag{6.1}$$

for $l=1,...,d$, and

$$J'_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \varsigma_{d+1}iJ_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^d}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) + i\sum_{l=1}^{d}\varsigma_l J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}-\boldsymbol{e}_l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}). \tag{6.2}$$

It is easy to verify (6.1) and (6.2) using the rigorous interpretation of $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ established in §3.3. Moreover, using (6.1), one may reformulate (6.2) as below,

$$J'_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \varsigma_{d+1}i(d+1)J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^d}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) + \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{d}\nu_l}{x}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}). \tag{6.3}$$

6.2. The differential equations.

LEMMA 6.1. *Define* $\boldsymbol{e}^l = (\underbrace{1,...,1}_{l},0...,0)$, $l=1,...,d$, *and denote* $\boldsymbol{e}^0=\boldsymbol{e}^{d+1}=(0,...,0)$ *for convenience. Let* $\nu_{d+1}=0$.

(1). *For* $l=1,...,d+1$ *we have*

$$J'_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \varsigma_l i(d+1)J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^{l-1}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) - \frac{\Lambda_{d-l+1}(\boldsymbol{\nu})+d-l+1}{x}J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}), \tag{6.4}$$

with

$$\Lambda_m(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = -\sum_{k=1}^{d}\nu_k + (d+1)\nu_{d-m+1}, \quad m=0,...,d.$$

(2). *For* $0\leqslant j\leqslant k\leqslant d+1$ *define*

$$U_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j=k, \\ -\left(\Lambda_j(\boldsymbol{\nu})+k-1\right)U_{k-1,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) + U_{k-1,j-1}(\boldsymbol{\nu}), & \text{if } 0\leqslant j\leqslant k-1, \end{cases}$$

with the notation $U_{k,-1}(\boldsymbol{\nu})=0$, *and*

$$S_0(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = +, \quad S_j(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \prod_{m=0}^{j-1}\varsigma_{d-m+1} \ \text{ for } j=1,...,d+1.$$

Then

$$J^{(k)}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} S_j(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})(i(d+1))^j U_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) x^{j-k} J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^{d-j+1}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}). \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. By (6.3) and (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned} J'_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) &= \varsigma_{d+1} i(d+1) J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^l+\boldsymbol{e}^d}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d \nu_k + l}{x} J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) \\ &= i(d+1)\left(-\frac{\nu_l+1}{ix} J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) + \varsigma_l J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^{l-1}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})\right) + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d \nu_k + l}{x} J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) \\ &= \varsigma_l i(d+1) J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^{l-1}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d \nu_k - (d+1)\nu_l + l - d - 1}{x} J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^l}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (6.4).

(6.5) is trivial when $k = 0$. Suppose that $k \geqslant 1$ and (6.5) is already proven for $k-1$. Inductive hypothesis and (6.4) imply

$$\begin{aligned} J^{(k)}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = &\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} S_j(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})(i(d+1))^j U_{k-1,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) x^{j-k+1} \\ &\big((j-k+1)x^{-1} J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^{d-j+1}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) \\ &\quad + \varsigma_{d-j+1} i(d+1) J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^{d-j}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) - (\Lambda_j(\boldsymbol{\nu})+j) x^{-1} J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^{d-j+1}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})\big) \\ = &-\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} S_j(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})(i(d+1))^j U_{k-1,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu})(\Lambda_j(\boldsymbol{\nu})+k-1) x^{j-k} J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^{d-j+1}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} S_{j-1}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})\varsigma_{d-j+2}(i(d+1))^j U_{k-1,j-1}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) x^{j-k} J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^{d-j+1}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}). \end{aligned}$$

Then (6.5) follows from the definitions of $U_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ and $S_j(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 6.1 (2) may be recapitulated as

$$X_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = D(x)^{-1} U(\boldsymbol{\nu}) D(x) S(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) Y_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}), \tag{6.6}$$

where $X_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \left(J^{(k)}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})\right)_{k=0}^{d+1}$ and $Y_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \left(J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^{d-j+1}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})\right)_{j=0}^{d+1}$ are column vectors of functions, $S(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \operatorname{diag}\left(S_j(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})(i(d+1))^j\right)_{j=0}^{d+1}$ and $D(x) = \operatorname{diag}\left(x^j\right)_{j=0}^{d+1}$ are diagonal matrices, and $U(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ is the lower triangular unipotent $(d+2)\times(d+2)$ matrix whose $(k+1, j+1)$-th entry is equal to $U_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$. The inverse matrix $U(\boldsymbol{\nu})^{-1}$ is again a lower triangular unipotent matrix. Let $V_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ denote the $(k+1, j+1)$-th entry of $U(\boldsymbol{\nu})^{-1}$. One sees that $V_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ is a polynomial in $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ of degree $k-j$ and integral coefficients.

Observe that $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^{d+1}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{e}^0}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$. Therefore, (6.6) implies that $J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ satisfies the following linear differential equation of order $d+1$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d+1} V_{d+1,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) x^{j-d-1} w^{(j)} + \left(V_{d+1,0}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) x^{-d-1} - S_{d+1}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})(i(d+1))^{d+1}\right) w = 0. \tag{6.7}$$

6.3. Calculations of the coefficients in the differential equations.

DEFINITION 6.2. *Let $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \{\Lambda_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of complex numbers.*

(1). *For $k, j \geqslant -1$ inductively define a double sequence of polynomials $U_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ in $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ by the initial conditions*

$$U_{-1,-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = 1, \quad U_{k,-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = U_{-1,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = 0 \ \text{ if } k, j \geqslant 0,$$

and the recurrence relation

$$U_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = -(\Lambda_j + k - 1)\, U_{k-1,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) + U_{k-1,j-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}), \quad k, j \geqslant 0. \tag{6.8}$$

(2). *For $j, m \geqslant -1$ with $(j, m) \neq (-1, -1)$ define a double sequence of integers $A_{j,m}$ by the initial conditions*

$$A_{-1,0} = 1, \quad A_{-1,m} = A_{j,-1} = 0 \ \text{ if } m \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 0,$$

and the recurrence relation

$$A_{j,m} = jA_{j,m-1} + A_{j-1,m}, \quad j, m \geqslant 0. \tag{6.9}$$

(3). *For $k, m \geqslant 0$ we define $\sigma_{k,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ to be the elementary symmetric polynomial in $\Lambda_0, ..., \Lambda_k$ of degree m, with the convention that $\sigma_{k,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = 0$ if $m \geqslant k + 2$. Moreover, we denote*

$$\sigma_{-1,0}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = 1, \quad \sigma_{k,-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \sigma_{-1,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = 0 \ \text{ if } k \geqslant -1, m \geqslant 1.$$

Observe that, with the above notations as initial conditions, $\sigma_{k,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ may also be inductively defined by the recurrence relation

$$\sigma_{k,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \Lambda_k \sigma_{k-1,m-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) + \sigma_{k-1,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}), \quad k, m \geqslant 0. \tag{6.10}$$

(4). *For $k \geqslant 0$, $j \geqslant -1$ define*

$$V_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j > k, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{m=0}^{k-j} A_{j,k-j-m}\sigma_{k-1,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}), & \text{if } k \geqslant j. \end{cases} \tag{6.11}$$

LEMMA 6.3. *Let notations be as above.*

(1). *$U_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ is a polynomial in $\Lambda_0, ..., \Lambda_j$. $U_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = 0$ if $j > k$, and $U_{k,k}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = 1$. $U_{k,0}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = [-\Lambda_0]_k$ for $k \geqslant 0$.*

(2). *$A_{j,0} = 1$, and $A_{j,1} = \frac{1}{2}j(j+1)$.*

(3). *$V_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ is a symmetric polynomial in $\Lambda_0, ..., \Lambda_{k-1}$. $V_{k,k}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = 1$. $V_{k,-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = 0$ and $V_{k,k-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \sigma_{k-1,1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) + \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)$ for $k \geqslant 0$.*

(4). *$V_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ satisfies the following recurrence relation*

$$V_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = (\Lambda_{k-1} + j)V_{k-1,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) + V_{k-1,j-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}), \quad k \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 0. \tag{6.12}$$

PROOF. (1-3) are evident from the definitions.

(4). (6.12) is obvious if $j \geqslant k$. If $k > j$, then the recurrence relations (6.10, 6.9) of $\sigma_{k,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ and $A_{j,m}$, in conjunction with the definition (6.11) of $V_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$, yield

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) &= \sum_{m=0}^{k-j} A_{j,k-j-m}\sigma_{k-1,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \\
&= \Lambda_{k-1}\sum_{m=1}^{k-j} A_{j,k-j-m}\sigma_{k-2,m-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) + \sum_{m=0}^{k-j} A_{j,k-j-m}\sigma_{k-2,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \\
&= \Lambda_{k-1}\sum_{m=0}^{k-j-1} A_{j,k-j-m-1}\sigma_{k-2,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \\
&\quad + j\sum_{m=0}^{k-j-1} A_{j,k-j-m-1}\sigma_{k-2,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) + \sum_{m=0}^{k-j} A_{j-1,k-j-m}\sigma_{k-2,m}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \\
&= (\Lambda_{k-1}+j)V_{k-1,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) + V_{k-1,j-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}).
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

LEMMA 6.4. *For $k \geqslant 0$ and $j \geqslant -1$ such that $k \geqslant j$, we have*

$$\sum_{l=j}^{k} U_{k,l}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})V_{l,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \delta_{k,j}, \tag{6.13}$$

where $\delta_{k,j}$ denotes Kronecker's delta symbol.

PROOF. (6.13) is obvious if either $k = j$ or $j = -1$. In the proof we may therefore assume that $k-1 \geqslant j \geqslant 0$ and that (6.13) is already proven for smaller values of $k-j$ as well as for smaller values of j and the same $k-j$.

By the recurrence relations (6.8, 6.12) of $U_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ and $V_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ and the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l=j}^{k} U_{k,l}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})V_{l,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \\
&= -\sum_{l=j}^{k-1}(k-1+\Lambda_l)U_{k-1,l}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})V_{l,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) + \sum_{l=j}^{k} U_{k-1,l-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})V_{l,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \\
&= -(k-1)\delta_{k-1,j} - \sum_{l=j}^{k-1}\Lambda_l U_{k-1,l}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})V_{l,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) + \sum_{l=j+1}^{k}\Lambda_{l-1}U_{k-1,l-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})V_{l-1,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \\
&\quad + j\sum_{l=j+1}^{k} U_{k-1,l-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})V_{l-1,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) + \sum_{l=j}^{k} U_{k-1,l-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})V_{l-1,j-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \\
&= -(k-1)\delta_{k-1,j} + 0 + j\delta_{k-1,j} + \delta_{k-1,j-1} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (6.13). Q.E.D.

Finally, we have the following explicit formulae for $A_{j,m}$.

LEMMA 6.5. *We have* $A_{0,0} = 1$, $A_{0,m} = 0$ *if* $m \geqslant 1$, *and*

$$A_{j,m} = \sum_{r=1}^{j} \frac{(-)^{j-r} r^{m+j}}{r!(j-r)!} \quad \text{if } j \geqslant 1, m \geqslant 0. \tag{6.14}$$

PROOF. It is easily seen that $A_{0,0} = 1$ and $A_{0,m} = 0$ if $m \geqslant 1$.

It is straightforward to verify that the sequence given by (6.14) satisfies the recurrence relation (6.9), so it is left to show that (6.14) holds true for $m = 0$. Initially, $A_{j,0} = 1$, and hence one must verify

$$\sum_{r=1}^{j} \frac{(-)^{j-r} r^{j}}{r!(j-r)!} = 1.$$

This follows from considering all the identities obtained by differentiating the following binomial identity up to j times and then evaluating at $x = 1$,

$$(x-1)^j - (-1)^j = j! \sum_{r=1}^{j} \frac{(-1)^{j-r}}{r!(j-r)!} x^r.$$

Q.E.D.

6.4. Conclusion. We first observe that, when $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k \leqslant d+1$, both $U_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ and $V_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ are polynomials in $\Lambda_0, ..., \Lambda_d$ according to Lemma 6.3 (1, 3). If one puts $\Lambda_m = \Lambda_m(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ for $m = 0, ..., d$, then $U_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = U_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$. It follows from Lemma 6.4 that $V_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = V_{k,j}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$. Moreover, the relations $\nu_l = \lambda_l - \lambda_{d+1}$, $l = 1, ..., d$, along with the assumption $\sum_{l=1}^{d+1} \lambda_l = 0$, yields

$$\Lambda_m(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = (d+1)\lambda_{d-m+1}.$$

Now we can reformulate (6.7) in the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.6. *The Bessel function* $J(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ *satisfies the following linear differential equation of order* $d+1$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d+1} V_{d+1,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) x^j w^{(j)} + \left(V_{d+1,0}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - S_{d+1}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})(i(d+1))^{d+1} x^{d+1}\right) w = 0, \tag{6.15}$$

where

$$S_{d+1}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \prod_{l=1}^{d+1} \varsigma_l, \quad V_{d+1,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sum_{m=0}^{d-j+1} A_{j,d-j-m+1}(d+1)^m \sigma_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda}),$$

$\sigma_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ *denotes the elementary symmetric polynomial in* $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ *of degree* m, *with* $\sigma_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 0$, *and* $A_{j,m}$ *is recurrently defined in Definition* 6.2 (3) *and explicitly given in Lemma* 6.5. *We shall call the equation* (6.15) *a Bessel equation of index* $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, *or simply a Bessel equation if the index* $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ *is given.*

For a given index $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, (6.15) only provides two Bessel equations. The sign $S_{d+1}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ determines which one of the two Bessel equations a Bessel function $J(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ satisfies.

DEFINITION 6.7. *We call* $S_{d+1}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \prod_{l=1}^{d+1} \varsigma_l$ *the sign of the Bessel function* $J(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ *as well as the Bessel equation satisfied by* $J(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

Finally, we collect some simple facts on $V_{d+1,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ in the following lemma, which will play important roles later in the study of Bessel equations.

LEMMA 6.8. *We have*
(1). $\sum_{j=0}^{d+1} V_{d+1,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})[-(d+1)\lambda_{d+1}]_j = 0$.
(2). $V_{d+1,d}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}d(d+1)$.

REMARK 6.9. *If we define*

$$\boldsymbol{J}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = J\left((d+1)^{-1}x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},(d+1)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right), \tag{6.16}$$

then this normalized Bessel function satisfies a differential equation with coefficients free of powers of $(d+1)$*, that is,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \boldsymbol{V}_{d+1,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})x^j w^{(j)} + \left(\boldsymbol{V}_{d+1,0}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - S_{d+1}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})i^{d+1}x^{d+1}\right)w = 0,$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{d+1,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sum_{m=0}^{d-j+1} A_{j,d-j-m+1}\sigma_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$

In particular, if $d=1$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=(\lambda,-\lambda)$*, then the two normalized Bessel equations are*

$$x^2\frac{d^2w}{dx^2} + x\frac{dw}{dx} + \left(-\lambda^2 \pm x^2\right)w = 0.$$

These are exactly the Bessel equation and the modified Bessel equation of index λ*.*

7. Bessel equations

The theory of linear ordinary differential equations with analytic coefficients[IX] will be employed in this section to study Bessel equations. The reader will observe the structural simplicity as well as the abundance of symmetries of of these Bessel equations.

Subsequently, we shall use z instead of x to indicate complex variable. For $\varsigma \in \{+,-\}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{L}^{n-1}$, we introduce the Bessel differential operator

$$\nabla_{\varsigma,\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_{n,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})z^j\frac{d^j}{dz^j} + V_{n,0}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \varsigma(in)^n z^n. \tag{7.1}$$

The Bessel equation of index $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and sign ς may be written as

$$\nabla_{\varsigma,\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(w) = 0. \tag{7.2}$$

Its corresponding system of differential equations is given by

$$w' = B(z;\varsigma,\boldsymbol{\lambda})w, \tag{7.3}$$

[IX] [**CL**, Chapter 4, 5] and [**Was**, Chapter II-V] are the main references that we follow, and the reader is referred to these books for terminologies and definitions.

with

$$B(z;\varsigma,\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ -V_{n,0}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})z^{-n} + \varsigma(in)^n & -V_{n,1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})z^{-n+1} & \cdots & \cdots & -V_{n,n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We shall study Bessel equations on the Riemann surface $\mathbb{U}$ associated with $\log z$, that is, the universal cover of $\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}$. Each element in $\mathbb{U}$ is represented by a pair (x,ω) with modulus $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and argument $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, and will be denoted by $z = xe^{i\omega} = e^{\log x + i\omega}$ with some ambiguity. Conventionally, define $z^\lambda = e^{\lambda \log z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\overline{z} = e^{-\log z}$, and moreover let $1 = e^0$, $-1 = e^{\pi i}$ and $\pm i = e^{\pm\frac{1}{2}\pi i}$.

First of all, since Bessel equations are nonsingular on $\mathbb{U}$, all the solutions of Bessel equations are analytic on $\mathbb{U}$.

Each Bessel equation has only two singularities at $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$. According to the classification of singularities, 0 is a *regular singularity*, so the Frobenius method gives rise to solutions of Bessel equations developed in series of ascending powers of z, or possibly logarithmic sums of this kind of series, whereas ∞ is an *irregular singularity of rank one*, and therefore one may find certain formal solutions that are the asymptotic expansions of some actual solutions of Bessel equations. Accordingly, there are two kinds of Bessel functions arising as solutions of Bessel equations. Their study is not only useful in understanding the Bessel functions $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and the Bessel kernels $J_{(\lambda,\delta)}(x)$ on the real numbers (see §7.2, 9) but also significant in investigating the Bessel kernels on the complex numbers (see [**Qi1**, §7, 8]).

Finally, a simple but important observation is as follows.

LEMMA 7.1. *Let $\varsigma \in \{+,-\}$ and a be an integer. If $\varphi(z)$ is a solution of the Bessel equation of sign ς, then $\varphi\big(e^{\pi i\frac{a}{n}}z\big)$ satisfies the Bessel equation of sign $(-)^a\varsigma$.*

Variants of Lemma 7.1, Lemma 7.3, 7.10 and 7.22, will play important roles later in §8 when we study the connection formulae for various kinds of Bessel functions.

7.1. Bessel functions of the first kind. The indicial equation associated with $\nabla_{\varsigma,\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is given as below,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} [\rho]_j V_{n,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 0.$$

Let $P_\lambda(\rho)$ denote the polynomial on the left of this equation. Lemma 6.8 (1) along with the symmetry of $V_{n,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ yields the following identity,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} [-n\lambda_l]_j V_{n,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 0,$$

for each $l = 1, ..., n$. Therefore,

$$P_{\lambda}(\rho) = \prod_{l=1}^{n}(\rho + n\lambda_l).$$

Consider the formal series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m z^{\rho+m},$$

where the index ρ and the coefficients c_m, with $c_0 \neq 0$, are to be determined. It is easy to see that

$$\nabla_{\varsigma,\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m z^{\rho+m} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m P_{\lambda}(\rho + m) z^{\rho+m} - \varsigma(in)^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m z^{\rho+m+n}.$$

If the following equations are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} &c_m P_{\lambda}(\rho + m) = 0, \quad n > m \geqslant 1, \\ &c_m P_{\lambda}(\rho + m) - \varsigma(in)^n c_{m-n} = 0, \quad m \geqslant n, \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

then

$$\nabla_{\varsigma,\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m z^{\rho+m} = c_0 P_{\lambda}(\rho) z^{\rho}.$$

Given $l \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Choose $\rho = -n\lambda_l$ and let $c_0 = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \Gamma(\lambda_k - \lambda_l + 1)^{-1}$. Suppose, for the moment, that no two components of $n\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ differ by an integer. Then $P_{\lambda}(-n\lambda_l + m) \neq 0$ for any $m \geqslant 1$ and $c_0 \neq 0$, and hence the system of equations (7.4) is uniquely solvable. It follows that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\varsigma i^n)^m z^{n(-\lambda_l+m)}}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \Gamma(\lambda_k - \lambda_l + m + 1)} \tag{7.5}$$

is a formal solution of the differential equation (7.2).

Now suppose that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{L}^{n-1}$ is unrestricted. The series in (7.5) is absolutely convergent, compactly convergent with respect to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, and hence gives rise to an analytic function of z on the Riemann surface $\mathbb{U}$, as well as an analytic function of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. We denote by $J_l(z; \varsigma, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ the analytic function given by the series (7.5) and call it a *Bessel function of the first kind*. It is evident that $J_l(z; \varsigma, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is an actual solution of (7.2).

Definition 7.2. *Let $\mathbb{D}^{n-1}$ denote the set of $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{L}^{n-1}$ such that no two components of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ differ by an integer. We call an index $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ generic if $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^{n-1}$.*

When $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^{n-1}$, all the $J_l(z; \varsigma, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ constitute a fundamental set of solutions, since the leading term in the expression (7.5) of $J_l(z; \varsigma, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ does not vanish. However, this is no longer the case if $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \notin \mathbb{D}^{n-1}$. Indeed, if $\lambda_l - \lambda_k$ is an integer, $k \neq l$, then $J_l(z; \varsigma, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (\varsigma i^n)^{\lambda_l - \lambda_k} J_k(z; \varsigma, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Other solutions are certain logarithmic sums of series of ascending powers of z. Roughly speaking, powers of $\log z$ may occur in some solutions. For more details the reader may consult [**CL**, §4.8].

LEMMA 7.3. *Let a be an integer. We have*

$$J_l\big(e^{\pi i\frac{a}{n}}z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big)=e^{-\pi i a\lambda_l}J_l(z;(-)^a\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$

REMARK 7.4. *If $n=2$, then we have the following formulae according to* [**Wat**, 3.1 (8), 3.7 (2)],

$$J_1(z;+,\lambda,-\lambda)=J_{-2\lambda}(2z),\quad J_2(z;+,\lambda,-\lambda)=J_{2\lambda}(2z),$$
$$J_1(z;-,\lambda,-\lambda)=I_{-2\lambda}(2z),\quad J_2(z;-,\lambda,-\lambda)=I_{2\lambda}(2z).$$

REMARK 7.5. *Recall the definition of the generalized hypergeometric functions given by the series* [**Wat**, §4.4]

$${}_pF_q(\alpha_1,...,\alpha_p;\rho_1,...,\rho_q;z)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha_1)_m...(\alpha_p)_m}{m!(\rho_1)_m...(\rho_q)_m}z^m.$$

It is evident that each Bessel function $J_l(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is closely related to a certain generalized hypergeometric function ${}_0F_{n-1}$ as follows

$$J_l(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\left(\prod_{k\neq l}\frac{z^{\lambda_k-\lambda_l}}{\Gamma(\lambda_k-\lambda_l+1)}\right)\cdot{}_0F_{n-1}\left(\{\lambda_k-\lambda_l+1\}_{k\neq l};\boldsymbol{\varsigma}i^nz^n\right).$$

7.2. The analytic continuation of $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. For any given $\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathbb{L}^{n-1}$, since $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ satisfies the Bessel equation of sign $S_n(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$, it admits a unique analytic continuation $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ onto $\mathbb{U}$. Recall the definition

$$J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{C}}G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})x^{-ns}ds,\quad x\in\mathbb{R}_+,\tag{7.6}$$

where $G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\prod_{k=1}^n\Gamma(s-\lambda_k)e\left(\frac{1}{4}\varsigma_k(s-\lambda_k)\right)$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a suitable contour.

Let $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}=S_n(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$. For the moment, let us assume that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is generic. For $l=1,...,n$ and $m=0,1,2,...,G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ has a simple pole at λ_l-m with residue

$$(-)^m\frac{1}{m!}e\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n\varsigma_k(\lambda_l-\lambda_k-m)}{4}\right)\prod_{k\neq l}\Gamma(\lambda_l-\lambda_k-m)=\pi^{n-1}e\left(-\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n\varsigma_k\lambda_k}{4}\right)$$
$$e\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n\varsigma_k\lambda_l}{4}\right)\left(\prod_{k\neq l}\sin(\pi(\lambda_l-\lambda_k))^{-1}\right)\frac{(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}i^n)^m}{\prod_{k=1}^n\Gamma(\lambda_k-\lambda_l+m+1)}.$$

Here we have used Euler's reflection formula for the Gamma function. Applying Cauchy's residue theorem, $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is developed into an absolutely convergent series if one shifts the contour $\mathcal{C}$ far left, and, in view of (7.5), we obtain

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\pi^{n-1}E(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})\sum_{l=1}^nE_l(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})S_l(\boldsymbol{\lambda})J_l(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}),\quad z\in\mathbb{U},\tag{7.7}$$

with

$$E(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=e\left(-\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n\varsigma_k\lambda_k}{4}\right),\quad E_l(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=e\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n\varsigma_k\lambda_l}{4}\right),$$
$$S_l(\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\prod_{k\neq l}\sin(\pi(\lambda_l-\lambda_k))^{-1}.$$

Because of the possible vanishing of $\sin(\pi(\lambda_l - \lambda_k))$, the definition of $S_l(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ may fail to make sense if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is not generic. In order to properly interpret (7.7) in the non-generic case, one has to pass to the limit, that is,

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \pi^{n-1}E(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \cdot \lim_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'\to\boldsymbol{\lambda}\\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}'\in\mathbb{D}^{n-1}}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} E_l(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}')S_l(\boldsymbol{\lambda}')J_l(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}'). \tag{7.8}$$

We recollect the definitions of $L_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ and $n_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ introduced in Proposition 2.9.

Definition 7.6. *Let $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \{+,-\}^n$. We define $L_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \{l : \varsigma_l = \pm\}$ and $n_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = |L_\pm(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})|$. The pair of integers $(n_+(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}), n_-(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}))$ is called the signature of $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$, as well as the signature of the Bessel function $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.*

With Definition 7.6, we reformulate (7.7, 7.8) in the following lemma.

Lemma 7.7. *We have*

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \pi^{n-1}E(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})\sum_{l=1}^{n} E_l(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})S_l(\boldsymbol{\lambda})J_l\big(z;(-)^{n_-(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big),$$

with $E(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = e\left(-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{k\in L_+(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{k\in L_-(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}\lambda_k\right)$, $E_l(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = e\left(\frac{1}{4}(n_+(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})-n_-(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}))\lambda_l\right)$ and $S_l(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \prod_{k\neq l}\sin(\pi(\lambda_l-\lambda_k))^{-1}$. When $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is not generic, the right hand side is to be replaced by its limit.

Remark 7.8. *In view of Proposition* 2.7 *and Remark* 7.4, *Lemma* 7.7 *is equivalent to the connection formulae in* (1.12, 1.13) (*see* [**Wat**, 3.61(5, 6), 3.7 (6)]).

Remark 7.9. *In the case when $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{n-1}{2},...,-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)$, the formula in Lemma* 7.7 *amounts to splitting the Taylor series expansion of $e^{in\xi(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})x}$ in* (2.15) *according to the congruence classes of indices modulo n. To see this, one requires the multiplicative formula* (2.16) *of the Gamma function as well as the trigonometric identity*

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{n}\right) = \frac{n}{2^{n-1}}.$$

Using Lemma 7.3 and 7.7, one proves the following lemma, which implies that the Bessel function $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is determined by its signature up to a constant multiple.

Lemma 7.10. *Define $H^\pm(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = J(z;\pm,...,\pm,\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Then*

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = e\left(\pm\frac{\sum_{l\in L_\mp(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}\lambda_l}{2}\right)H^\pm\Big(e^{\pm\pi i\frac{n_\mp(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{n}}z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}\Big).$$

Remark 7.11. *We have the following Barnes type integral representation,*

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{C}'} G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})z^{-ns}ds, \quad z\in\mathbb{U}, \tag{7.9}$$

where $\mathcal{C}'$ is a contour that starts from and returns to $-\infty$ after encircling the poles of the integrand counter-clockwise. Compare [**Wat**, §6.5]. *Lemma* 7.10 *may also be seen from this integral representation.*

When $-\frac{n_-(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{n}\pi < \arg z < \frac{n_+(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{n}\pi$, *the contour* $\mathcal{C}'$ *may be opened out to the vertical line* (σ), *with* $\sigma > \max\{\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_l\}$. *Thus*

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)} G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})z^{-ns}ds, \quad -\frac{n_-(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{n}\pi < \arg z < \frac{n_+(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{n}\pi. \tag{7.10}$$

On the boundary rays $\arg z = \pm\frac{n_+(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{n}\pi$, *the contour* (σ) *should be shifted to* $\mathcal{C}$ *defined as in* §2.1, *in order to secure convergence.*

The contour integrals in (7.9, 7.10) *absolutely converge, compactly in both* z *and* $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. *To see these, one uses Stirling's formula to examine the behaviour of the integrand* $G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})z^{-ns}$ *on integral contours, where for* (7.9) *a transformation of* $G(s;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ *by Euler's reflection formula is required.*

7.3. Asymptotics for Bessel equations and Bessel functions of the second kind. Subsequently, we proceed to investigate the asymptotics at infinity for Bessel equations.

DEFINITION 7.12. *For* $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \{+,-\}$ *and a positive integer* N, *we let* $\mathbb{X}_N(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ *denote the set of* N*-th roots of* $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}1$.[X]

Before delving into our general study, let us first consider the prototypical example given in Proposition 2.9.

PROPOSITION 7.13. *For any* $\xi \in \mathbb{X}_{2n}(+)$, *the function* $z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{in\xi z}$ *is a solution of the Bessel equation of index* $\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{n-1}{2},...,-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)$ *and sign* ξ^n.

PROOF. When $\mathfrak{Im}\,\xi \geqslant 0$, this can be seen from Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 6.6. For arbitrary ξ, one makes use of Lemma 7.1. Q.E.D.

7.3.1. *Formal solutions of Bessel equations at infinity.* Following [**CL**, Chapter 5], we shall consider the system of differential equations (7.3). We have

$$B(\infty;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \boldsymbol{\varsigma}(in)^n & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If one let $\mathbb{X}_n(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \{\xi_1,...,\xi_n\}$, then the eigenvalues of $B(\infty;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are $in\xi_1,...,in\xi_n$. The conjugation by the following matrix diagonalizes $B(\infty;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$,

$$T = \frac{1}{n}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & (in\xi_1)^{-1} & \cdots & (in\xi_1)^{-n+1} \\ 1 & (in\xi_2)^{-1} & \cdots & (in\xi_2)^{-n+1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & (in\xi_n)^{-1} & \cdots & (in\xi_n)^{-n+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

[X] Under certain circumstances, it is suitable to view an element ξ of $\mathbb{X}_N(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ as a point in $\mathbb{U}$ instead of $\mathbb{C}\smallsetminus\{0\}$. This however should be clear from the context.

$$T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ in\xi_1 & in\xi_2 & \cdots & in\xi_n \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ (in\xi_1)^{n-1} & (in\xi_2)^{n-1} & \cdots & (in\xi_n)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the substitution $u = Tw$ turns the system of differential equations (7.3) into

$$u' = A(z)u, \tag{7.11}$$

where $A(z) = TB(z;\varsigma,\lambda)T^{-1}$ is a matrix of polynomials in z^{-1} of degree n,

$$A(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} z^{-j} A_j,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} &A_0 = \Delta = \operatorname{diag}\left(in\xi_l\right)_{l=1}^{n}, \\ &A_j = -i^{-j+1} n^{-j} V_{n,n-j}(\lambda) \left(\xi_k \xi_l^{-j}\right)_{k,l=1}^{n}, \ j = 1, ..., n. \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

It is convenient to put $A_j = 0$ if $j > n$. The dependence on ς, λ and the ordering of the eigenvalues has been suppressed in our notations in the interest of brevity.

Suppose $\widehat{\Phi}$ is a formal solution matrix for (7.11) of the form

$$\widehat{\Phi}(z) = P(z) z^R e^{Qz},$$

where P is a formal power series in z^{-1},

$$P(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^{-m} P_m,$$

and R, Q are constant diagonal matrix. Since

$$\widehat{\Phi}' = P' z^R e^{Qz} + z^{-1} PRz^R e^{Qz} + Pz^R Q e^{Qz} = \left(P' + z^{-1} PR + PQ\right) z^R e^{Qz},$$

the differential equation (7.11) yields

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^{-m-1} P_m (R - mI) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^{-m} P_m Q = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^{-j} A_j\right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^{-m} P_m\right),$$

where I denotes the identity matrix. Comparing the coefficients of various powers of z^{-1}, it follows that $\widehat{\Phi}$ is a formal solution matrix for (7.11) if and only if R, Q and P_m satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} &P_0 Q - \Delta P_0 = 0 \\ &P_{m+1} Q - \Delta P_{m+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} A_j P_{m-j+1} + P_m (mI - R), \quad m \geqslant 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

A solution of the first equation in (7.13) is given by

$$Q = \Delta, \quad P_0 = I. \tag{7.14}$$

Using (7.14), the second equation in (7.13) for $m = 0$ becomes

$$P_1\Delta - \Delta P_1 = A_1 - R. \tag{7.15}$$

Since Δ is diagonal, the diagonal entries of the left side of (7.15) are zero, and hence the diagonal entries of R must be identical with those of A_1. In view of (7.12) and Lemma 6.8 (2), we have

$$A_1 = -\frac{1}{n}V_{n,n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \cdot \left(\xi_k\xi_l^{-1}\right)_{k,l=1}^{n} = -\frac{n-1}{2}\left(\xi_k\xi_l^{-1}\right)_{k,l=1}^{n},$$

and therefore

$$R = -\frac{n-1}{2}I. \tag{7.16}$$

Let $p_{1,kl}$ denote the (k,l)-th entry of P_1. It follows from (7.12, 7.15) that

$$in(\xi_l - \xi_k)p_{1,kl} = -\frac{n-1}{2}\xi_k\xi_l^{-1}, \quad k \neq l. \tag{7.17}$$

The off-diagonal entries of P_1 are uniquely determined by (7.17). Therefore, a solution of (7.15) is

$$P_1 = D_1 + P_1^o, \tag{7.18}$$

where D_1 is any diagonal matrix and P_1^o is the matrix with diagonal entries zero and (k,l)-th entry $p_{1,kl}$, $k \neq l$. To determine D_1, one resorts to the second equation in (7.13) for $m = 1$, which, in view of (7.14, 7.16, 7.18), may be written as

$$P_2\Delta - \Delta P_2 - \left(A_1 + \frac{n-1}{2}\right)D_1 - \frac{n+1}{2}P_1^o = A_1P_1^o + A_2 + D_1.$$

The matrix on the left side has zero diagonal entries. It follows that D_1 must be equal to the diagonal part of $-A_1P_1^o - A_2$.

In general, using (7.14, 7.16), the second equation in (7.13) may be written as

$$P_{m+1}\Delta - \Delta P_{m+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} A_jP_{m-j+1} + \left(m + \frac{n-1}{2}\right)P_m, \quad m \geqslant 0. \tag{7.19}$$

Applying (7.19), an induction on m implies that

$$P_m = D_m + P_m^o, \quad m \geqslant 1,$$

where D_m and P_m^o are inductively defined as follows. Put $D_0 = I$. Let mD_m be the diagonal part of

$$-\sum_{j=2}^{m+1} A_jD_{m-j+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_jP_{m-j+1}^o,$$

and let P_{m+1}^o be the matrix with diagonal entries zero such that $P_{m+1}^o\Delta - \Delta P_{m+1}^o$ is the off-diagonal part of

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} A_jD_{m-j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_jP_{m-j+1}^o + \left(m + \frac{n-1}{2}\right)P_m^o.$$

In this way, an inductive construction of the formal solution matrix of (7.11) is completed for the given initial choices $Q = \Delta$, $P_0 = I$.

With the observations that A_j is of degree j in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ for $j \geqslant 2$ and A_1 is constant, we may show the following lemma using an inductive argument.

LEMMA 7.14. *The entries of P_m are symmetric polynomial in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. If $m \geqslant 1$, then the off-diagonal entries of P_m have degree at most $2m-2$, whereas the degree of each diagonal entry is exactly $2m$.*

The first row of $T^{-1}\widehat{\Phi}$ constitute a fundamental system of formal solutions of the Bessel equation (7.2) of sign ς. Some calculations yield the following proposition, where for the derivatives of order higher than $n-1$ the differential equation (7.2) is applied.

PROPOSITION 7.15. *Let $\varsigma \in \{+,-\}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{X}_n(\varsigma)$. There exists a unique sequence of symmetric polynomials $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ of degree $2m$ and coefficients depending only on m, ξ and n, normalized so that $B_0(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) = 1$, such that*

$$e^{in\xi z} z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) z^{-m} \tag{7.20}$$

is a formal solution of the Bessel equation (7.2) of sign ς. We shall denote the formal series in (7.20) by $\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$. Moreover, the j-th formal derivative $\widehat{J}^{(j)}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ is also of the form as (7.20), but with coefficients depending on j as well.

REMARK 7.16. *The above arguments are essentially adapted from the proof of* [**CL**, Chapter 5, Theorem 2.1]. *This construction of the formal solution and Lemma 7.14 will be required later in § 7.4 for the error analysis.*

However, This method is not the best for the actual computation of the coefficients $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$. We may derive the recurrent relations of $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ by a more direct but less suggestive approach as follows.

The substitution $w = e^{in\xi z} z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} u$ transforms the Bessel equation (7.2) into

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} W_j(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) u^{(j)} = 0,$$

where $W_j(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is a polynomial in z^{-1} of degree $n-j$,

$$W_j(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} W_{j,k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) z^{-k},$$

with

$$W_{0,0}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (in\xi)^n - \varsigma(in)^n = 0,$$

$$W_{j,k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{(in\xi)^{n-j-k}}{j!(n-j-k)!} \sum_{r=0}^{k} \frac{(n-r)!}{(k-r)!} \left[-\frac{n-1}{2}\right]_{k-r} V_{n,n-r}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad (j,k) \neq (0,0).$$

We have

$$W_{0,1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (in\xi)^{n-1} \left(n\left(-\frac{n-1}{2}\right) V_{n,n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + V_{n,n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right) = 0,$$

and $W_{1,0}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = n(in\xi)^{n-1}$ *is nonzero. Some calculations show that* $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ *satisfy the following recurrence relations*

$$(m-1)W_{1,0}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})B_{m-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) = \sum_{k=2}^{\min\{n,m\}} W_{0,k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})B_{m-k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) + \sum_{\substack{j\geqslant 1,k\geqslant 0\\ 2\leqslant j+k\leqslant \min\{n,m-1\}}}\sum W_{j,k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})[j+k-m]_j B_{m-j-k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) = 0, \quad m \geqslant 2.$$

If $n = 2$*, for a fourth root of unity* $\xi = \pm 1, \pm i$ *one may calculate in this way to obtain*

$$B_m(\lambda,-\lambda;\xi) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}-2\lambda\right)_m \left(\frac{1}{2}+2\lambda\right)_m}{(4i\xi)^m m!}.$$

7.3.2. *Bessel functions of the second kind. Bessel functions of the second kind* are solutions of Bessel equations defined according to their asymptotic expansions at infinity. We shall apply several results in the asymptotic theory of ordinary differential equations from [**Was**, Chapter IV].

Firstly, [**Was**, Theorem 12.3] implies the following lemma.

LEMMA 7.17 (Existence of solutions). *Let* $\varsigma \in \{+,-\}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{X}_n(\varsigma)$*, and* $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{U}$ *be an open sector with vertex at the origin and a positive central angle not exceeding* π*. Then there exists a solution of the Bessel equation* (7.2) *of sign* ς *that has the asymptotic expansion* $\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ *defined in* (7.20) *on* $\mathbb{S}$*. Moreover, the derivatives of this solution have the formal derivative of* $\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ *of the same order as their asymptotic expansion.*

For two distinct $\xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{X}_n(\varsigma)$, the ray emitted from the origin on which

$$\mathfrak{Re}\ ((i\xi - i\xi')z) = -\mathfrak{Im}\ ((\xi-\xi')z) = 0$$

is called a *separation ray*.

We first consider the case $n = 2$. It is clear that the separation rays constitute either the real or the imaginary axis and thus separate $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ into two half-planes. Accordingly, we define $\mathbb{S}_{\pm 1} = \{z : \pm\mathfrak{Im}\, z > 0\}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{\pm i} = \{z : \pm\mathfrak{Re}\, z > 0\}$.

In the case $n \geqslant 3$, there are $2n$ distinct separation rays in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ given by the equations

$$\arg z = \arg(i\xi'), \quad \xi' \in \mathbb{X}_{2n}(+).$$

These separation rays divide $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ into $2n$ open sectors

$$\mathbb{S}_\xi^{\pm} = \left\{z : 0 < \pm\left(\arg z - \arg(i\bar{\xi})\right) < \frac{\pi}{n}\right\}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{X}_n(\varsigma). \tag{7.21}$$

In both sectors $\mathbb{S}_\xi^+$ and $\mathbb{S}_\xi^-$ we have

$$\mathfrak{Re}\,(i\xi z) < \mathfrak{Re}\,(i\xi' z) \ \text{ for all } \xi' \in \mathbb{X}_n(\varsigma),\ \xi' \neq \xi. \tag{7.22}$$

Let $\mathbb{S}_\xi$ be the sector on which (7.22) is satisfied. It is evident that

$$\mathbb{S}_\xi = \left\{z : \left|\arg z - \arg(i\bar{\xi})\right| < \frac{\pi}{n}\right\}. \tag{7.23}$$

LEMMA 7.18. *Let $\varsigma \in \{+,-\}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{X}_n(\varsigma)$.*

(1. Existence of asymptotics). *If $n \geqslant 3$, all the solutions of the Bessel equation* (7.2) *of sign ς on $\mathbb{S}_\xi^\pm$ have asymptotic representation a multiple of $\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi')$ for some $\xi' \in \mathbb{X}_n(\varsigma)$. If $n = 2$, the same assertion is true with $\mathbb{S}_\xi^\pm$ replaced by $\mathbb{S}_\xi$.*

(2. Uniqueness of the solution). *There is a unique solution of the Bessel equation of sign ς that possesses $\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ as its asymptotic expansion on $\mathbb{S}_\xi$ or any of its open subsector, and we shall denote this solution by $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$. Moreover, $J^{(j)}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) \sim \widehat{J}^{(j)}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ on $\mathbb{S}_\xi$ for any $j \geqslant 0$.*

PROOF. (1) follows directly from [**Was**, Theorem 15.1].

For $n = 2$, since (7.22) holds for the sector $\mathbb{S}_\xi$, (2) is true according to [**Was**, Corollary to Theorem 15.3]. Similarly, if $n \geqslant 3$, (2) is true with $\mathbb{S}_\xi$ replaced by $\mathbb{S}_\xi^\pm$. Thus, there exists a unique solution of the Bessel equation of sign ς possessing $\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ as its asymptotic expansion on $\mathbb{S}_\xi^\pm$ or any of its open subsector. For the moment, we denote this solution by $J^\pm(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$. On the other hand, because $\mathbb{S}_\xi$ has central angle $\frac{2}{n}\pi < \pi$, there exists a solution $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ with asymptotic $\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ on a given open subsector $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{S}_\xi$ due to Lemma 7.17. Observe that at least one of $\mathbb{S} \cap \mathbb{S}_\xi^+$ and $\mathbb{S} \cap \mathbb{S}_\xi^-$ is a nonempty open sector, say $\mathbb{S} \cap \mathbb{S}_\xi^+ \neq \emptyset$, then the uniqueness of $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ follows from that of $J^+(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ along with the principle of analytic continuation. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 7.19. *Let $\varsigma \in \{+,-\}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{X}_n(\varsigma)$, ϑ be a small positive constant, say $0 < \vartheta < \frac{1}{2}\pi$, and define*

$$\mathbb{S}'_\xi(\vartheta) = \left\{ z : \left| \arg z - \arg(i\bar{\xi}) \right| < \pi + \frac{\pi}{n} - \vartheta \right\}. \tag{7.24}$$

Then $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ is the unique solution of the Bessel equation of sign ς that has the asymptotic expansion $\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ on $\mathbb{S}'_\xi(\vartheta)$. Moreover, $J^{(j)}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) \sim \widehat{J}^{(j)}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ on $\mathbb{S}'_\xi(\vartheta)$ for any nonnegative integer j.

PROOF. Following from Lemma 7.17, there exists a solution of the Bessel equation of sign ς that has the asymptotic expansion $\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ on the open sector

$$\mathbb{S}_\xi^\pm(\vartheta) = \left\{ z : \frac{\pi}{n} - \vartheta < \pm \left(\arg z - \arg(i\bar{\xi}) \right) < \pi + \frac{\pi}{n} - \vartheta \right\}.$$

On the nonempty open sector $\mathbb{S}_\xi \cap \mathbb{S}_\xi^\pm(\vartheta)$ this solution must be identical with $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ by Lemma 7.18 (2) and hence is equal to $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ on $\mathbb{S}_\xi \cup \mathbb{S}_\xi^\pm(\vartheta)$ due to the principle of analytic continuation. Therefore, the region of validity of the asymptotic $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) \sim \widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ may be widened from $\mathbb{S}_\xi$ onto $\mathbb{S}'_\xi(\vartheta) = \mathbb{S}_\xi \cup \mathbb{S}_\xi^+(\vartheta) \cup \mathbb{S}_\xi^-(\vartheta)$. In the same way, Lemma 7.17 and 7.18 (2) also imply that $J^{(j)}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) \sim \widehat{J}^{(j)}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ on $\mathbb{S}'_\xi(\vartheta)$. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 7.20. *Let $\varsigma \in \{+,-\}$. All the $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$, with $\xi \in \mathbb{X}_n(\varsigma)$, form a fundamental set of solutions of the Bessel equation* (7.2) *of sign ς.*

REMARK 7.21. *If $n = 2$, by* [**Wat**, 3.7 (8), 3.71 (18), 7.2 (1, 2), 7.23 (1, 2)] *we have the following formula of $J(z;\lambda,-\lambda;\xi)$, with $\xi = \pm 1, \pm i$, and the corresponding sector on*

which its asymptotic expansion is valid

$$J(z;\lambda,-\lambda;1) = \sqrt{\pi}ie^{\pi i\lambda}H^{(1)}_{2\lambda}(2z), \quad \mathbb{S}'_1(\vartheta) = \{z : -\pi+\vartheta < \arg z < 2\pi-\vartheta\};$$

$$J(z;\lambda,-\lambda;-1) = \sqrt{-\pi}ie^{-\pi i\lambda}H^{(2)}_{2\lambda}(2z), \quad \mathbb{S}'_{-1}(\vartheta) = \{z : -2\pi+\vartheta < \arg z < \pi-\vartheta\};$$

$$J(z;\lambda,-\lambda;i) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}K_{2\lambda}(2z), \quad \mathbb{S}'_i(\vartheta) = \left\{z : |\arg z| < \frac{3}{2}\pi-\vartheta\right\};$$

$$J(z;\lambda,-\lambda;-i) = 2\sqrt{\pi}I_{2\lambda}(2z) - \frac{2i}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{2\pi i\lambda}K_{2\lambda}(2z),$$

$$\mathbb{S}'_{-i}(\vartheta) = \left\{z : -\frac{1}{2}\pi+\vartheta < \arg z < \frac{5}{2}\pi-\vartheta\right\}.$$

LEMMA 7.22. *Let $\xi \in \mathbb{X}_{2n}(+)$. We have*

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) = (\pm\xi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}J(\pm\xi z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1),$$

and $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) = (\pm\xi)^{-m}B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1)$.

PROOF. By Lemma 7.1, $(\pm\xi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}J(\pm\xi z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1)$ is a solution of one of the two Bessel equations of index $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. In view of Proposition 7.15 and Lemma 7.18 (2), it possesses $\widehat{J}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ as its asymptotic expansion on $\mathbb{S}_\xi$, and hence must be identical with $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$. Q.E.D.

TERMINOLOGY 7.23. *For $\xi \in \mathbb{X}_{2n}(+)$, $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ is called a Bessel function of the second kind.*

REMARK 7.24. *The results in this section do not provide any information on the asymptotics near zero of Bessel function of the second kind, and therefore their connections with Bessel function of the first kind can not be clarified here. We shall nevertheless find the connection formulae between the two kinds of Bessel functions later in* §8*, appealing to the asymptotic expansion of the H-Bessel function $H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ on the half-plane $\mathbb{H}^{\pm}$ that we showed earlier in* §5.

7.4. Error analysis for asymptotic expansions. The error bound for the asymptotic expansion of $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ with dependence on $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is always desirable for potential applications in analytic number theory. However, the author does not find any general results on the error analysis for differential equations of order higher than two. We shall nevertheless combine and generalize the ideas from [**CL**, §5.4] and [**Olv**, §7.2] to obtain an almost optimal error estimate for the asymptotic expansion of the Bessel function $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$. Observe that both of their methods have drawbacks for generalizations. [**Olv**] hardly uses the viewpoint from differential systems as only the second-order case is treated, whereas [**CL**, §5.4] is restricted to the positive real axis for more clarified expositions.

7.4.1. *Preparations.* We retain the notations from §7.3.1. For a positive integer M denote by $P_{(M)}$ the polynomial in z^{-1},

$$P_{(M)}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} z^{-m}P_m,$$

and by $\widehat{\Phi}_{(M)}$ the truncation of $\widehat{\Phi}$,

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{(M)}(z) = P_{(M)}(z)z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{\Lambda z}.$$

By Lemma 7.14, we have $|z^{-m}P_m| \ll_{m,n} \mathfrak{C}^{2m}|z|^{-m}$, so $P_{(M)}^{-1}$ exists as an analytic function for $|z| > c_1\mathfrak{C}^2$, where c_1 is some constant depending only on M and n. Moreover,

$$\left|P_{(M)}(z)\right|, \left|P_{(M)}^{-1}(z)\right| = O_{M,n}(1), \quad |z| > c_1\mathfrak{C}^2. \tag{7.25}$$

Let $A_{(M)}$ and $E_{(M)}$ be defined by

$$A_{(M)} = \widehat{\Phi}'_{(M)}\widehat{\Phi}_{(M)}^{-1}, \quad E_{(M)} = A - A_{(M)}.$$

$A_{(M)}$ and $E_{(M)}$ are clearly analytic for $|z| > c_1\mathfrak{C}^2$. Since

$$E_{(M)}P_{(M)} = AP_{(M)} - \left(P'_{(M)} - \frac{n-1}{2}z^{-1}P_{(M)} + P_{(M)}\Lambda\right),$$

it follows from the construction of $\widehat{\Phi}$ in §7.3.1 that $E_{(M)}P_{(M)}$ is a polynomial in z^{-1} of the form $\sum_{m=M+1}^{M+n} z^{-m}E_m$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{M+1} &= P^o_{M+1}\Lambda - \Lambda P^o_{M+1}, \\ E_m &= \sum_{j=m-M}^{\min\{m,n\}} A_j P_{m-j}, \quad M+1 < m \leqslant M+n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of Lemma 7.14, $|E_{M+1}| \ll_{M,n} \mathfrak{C}^{2M}$ and $|E_m| \ll_{m,n} \mathfrak{C}^{m+M}$ for $M+1 < m \leqslant M+n$. It follows that $|E_{(M)}(z)P_{(M)}(z)| \ll_{M,n} \mathfrak{C}^{2M}z^{-M-1}$ for $|z| > c_1\mathfrak{C}^2$, and this, combined with (7.25), yields

$$|E_{(M)}(z)| = O_{M,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M}|z|^{-M-1}\right). \tag{7.26}$$

By the definition of $A_{(M)}$, for $|z| > c_1\mathfrak{C}^2$, $\widehat{\Phi}_{(M)}$ is a fundamental matrix of the system

$$u' = A_{(M)}u. \tag{7.27}$$

We shall regard the differential system (7.11), that is,

$$u' = Au = A_{(M)}u + E_{(M)}u, \tag{7.28}$$

as a nonhomogeneous system with (7.27) as the corresponding homogeneous system.

7.4.2. *Construction of a solution.* Given $l \in \{1, ..., n\}$, let

$$\widehat{\varphi}_{(M),l}(z) = p_{(M),l}(z)z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{in\xi_l z}$$

be the l-th column vector of the matrix $\widehat{\Phi}_{(M)}$, where $p_{(M),l}$ is the l-th column vector of $P_{(M)}$. Using a version of the variation-of-constants formula and the method of successive approximations, we shall construct a solution $\varphi_{(M),l}$ of (7.11), for z in some suitable domain, satisfying

$$\left|\varphi_{(M),l}(z)\right| = O_{M,n}\left(|z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{\Re e\,(in\xi_l z)}\right), \tag{7.29}$$



FIGURE 2. $\mathcal{C}(z) \subset \mathbb{D}(C;\vartheta)$

and

$$\left|\varphi_{(M),l}(z) - \widehat{\varphi}_{(M),l}(z)\right| = O_{M,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M}|z|^{-M-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\Re\mathrm{e}\,(in\xi_l z)}\right), \tag{7.30}$$

with the implied constant in (7.30) also depending on the domain that we choose.

Step 1. *Constructing the domain and the contours for the integral equation.* For $C \geqslant c_1\mathfrak{C}^2$ and $0 < \vartheta < \frac{1}{2}\pi$, define the domain $\mathbb{D}(C;\vartheta) \subset \mathbb{U}$ by

$$\mathbb{D}(C;\vartheta) = \{z : |\arg z| \leqslant \pi, |z| > C\} \cup \left\{z : \pi < |\arg z| < \frac{3}{2}\pi - \vartheta,\ \Re\mathrm{e}\ z < -C\right\}.$$

For $k \neq l$ let $\omega(l,k) = \arg(i\bar{\xi}_l - i\bar{\xi}_k) = \arg(i\bar{\xi}_l) + \arg(1 - \xi_k\bar{\xi}_l)$, and define

$$\mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta) = \bigcap_{k\neq l} e^{i\omega(l,k)} \cdot \mathbb{D}(C;\vartheta).$$

With the observation that

$$\left\{\arg(1-\xi_k\bar{\xi}_l) : k \neq l\right\} = \left\{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{a}{n}\right)\pi : a = 1, ..., n-1\right\},$$

it is straightforward to show that $\mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta) = i\bar{\xi}_l\mathbb{D}'(C;\vartheta)$, where $\mathbb{D}'(C;\vartheta)$ is defined to be the union of the sector

$$\left\{z : |\arg z| \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{n},\ |z| > C\right\}$$

and the following two domains

$$\left\{z : \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{n} < \arg z < \pi + \frac{\pi}{n} - \vartheta,\ \Im\mathrm{m}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{n}\pi i} z\right) > C\right\},$$
$$\left\{z : -\pi - \frac{\pi}{n} + \vartheta < \arg z < -\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{n},\ \Im\mathrm{m}\left(e^{\frac{1}{n}\pi i} z\right) < -C\right\}.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{D}(C;\vartheta)$ we define a contour $\mathcal{C}(z) \subset \mathbb{D}(C;\vartheta)$ that starts from ∞ and ends at z; see Figure 2. For $z \in \mathbb{D}(C;\vartheta)$ with $|\arg z| \leqslant \pi$, the contour $\mathcal{C}(z)$ consists of the part of the positive axis where the magnitude exceeds $|z|$ and an arc of the circle centered at the origin of radius $|z|$, angle not exceeding π and endpoint z. For $z \in \mathbb{D}(C;\vartheta)$ with $\pi < |\arg z| < \frac{3}{2}\pi - \vartheta$, the definition of the contour $\mathcal{C}(z)$ is modified so that the circular



FIGURE 3. $\mathcal{C}'(z) \subset \mathbb{D}'(C;\vartheta)$

arc has radius $-\Re\mathrm{e}\, z$ instead of $|z|$ and ends at $\Re\mathrm{e}\, z$ on the negative real axis, and that $\mathcal{C}(z)$ also consists of a vertical line segment joining $\Re\mathrm{e}\, z$ and z. The crucial property that $\mathcal{C}(z)$ satisfies is the *nonincreasing* of $\Re\mathrm{e}\,\zeta$ along $\mathcal{C}(z)$.

We also define a contour $\mathcal{C}'(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}'(C;\vartheta)$ of a similar shape as $\mathcal{C}\,(z)$ illustrated in Figure 3.

Step 2. *Solving the integral equation via successive approximations.* We first split $\widehat{\Phi}^{-1}_{(M)}$ into n parts

$$\widehat{\Phi}^{-1}_{(M)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Psi^{(k)}_{(M)},$$

where the j-th row of $\Psi^{(k)}_{(M)}$ is identical with the k-th row of $\widehat{\Phi}^{-1}_{(M)}$, or identically zero, according as $j = k$ or not.

The integral equation to be considered is the following

$$u(z) = \widehat{\varphi}_{(M),l}(z) + \sum_{k\neq l} \int_{\infty e^{i\omega(l,k)}}^{z} K_k(z,\zeta)u(\zeta)d\zeta + \int_{\infty i\bar{\xi}_l}^{z} K_l(z,\zeta)u(\zeta)d\zeta, \tag{7.31}$$

where

$$K_k(z,\zeta) = \widehat{\Phi}_{(M)}(z)\Psi^{(k)}_{(M)}(\zeta)E_{(M)}(\zeta), \quad z,\zeta \in \mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta), k = 1,...,n,$$

the integral in the sum is integrated on the contour $e^{i\omega(l,k)}\mathcal{C}\big(e^{-i\omega(l,k)}z\big)$, whereas the last integral is on the contour $i\bar{\xi}_l\mathcal{C}'\,(-i\xi_l z)$. Clearly, all these contours lie in $\mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta)$. Most importantly, we note that $\Re\mathrm{e}\,((i\xi_l - i\xi_k)\zeta)$ is a negative multiple of $\Re\mathrm{e}\,\big(e^{-i\omega(l,k)}\zeta\big)$ and hence is *nondecreasing* along the contour $e^{i\omega(l,k)}\mathcal{C}\big(e^{-i\omega(l,k)}z\big)$.

By direct verification, it follows that if $u(z) = \varphi(z)$ satisfies (7.31), with the integrals convergent, then φ satisfies (7.28).

In order to solve (7.31), define the successive approximations

$$\varphi^0(z) \equiv 0,$$

$$\varphi^{\alpha+1}(z) = \widehat{\varphi}_{(M),l}(z) + \sum_{k\neq l} \int_{\infty e^{i\omega(l,k)}}^{z} K_k(z,\zeta)\varphi^{\alpha}(\zeta)d\zeta + \int_{\infty i\bar{\xi}_l}^{z} K_l(z,\zeta)\varphi^{\alpha}(\zeta)d\zeta. \tag{7.32}$$

The (j,r)-th entry of the matrix $\widehat{\Phi}_{(M)}(z)\Psi^{(k)}_{(M)}(\zeta)$ is given by

$$\left(\widehat{\Phi}_{(M)}(z)\Psi^{(k)}_{(M)}(\zeta)\right)_{jr} = \left(P_{(M)}(z)\right)_{jk}\left(P^{-1}_{(M)}(\zeta)\right)_{kr}\left(\frac{z}{\zeta}\right)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{in\xi_k(z-\zeta)}.$$

It follows from (7.25, 7.26) that

$$|K_k(z,\zeta)| \leqslant c_2\mathfrak{C}^{2M}|z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}|\zeta|^{-M-1+\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{\mathfrak{Re}\,(in\xi_k(z-\zeta))}, \tag{7.33}$$

for some constant c_2 depending only on M and n. Furthermore, we may appropriately choose c_2 such that

$$\int_{\infty i\bar{\xi}_l}^{z}|\zeta|^{-M-1}\,|d\zeta|\,,\ \int_{\infty e^{i\omega(l,k)}}^{z}|\zeta|^{-M-1}\,|d\zeta| \leqslant c_2C^{-M},\quad k\neq l. \tag{7.34}$$

According to (7.32), $\varphi^1(z) = \widehat{\varphi}_{(M),l}(z) = p_{(M),l}(z)z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{in\xi_l z}$, so

$$\left|\varphi^1(z)-\varphi^0(z)\right| = \left|\varphi^1(z)\right| \leqslant c_2|z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{\mathfrak{Re}\,(in\xi_l z)},\quad z\in\mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta).$$

We shall show by induction that for all $z\in\mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta)$

$$\left|\varphi^\alpha(z)-\varphi^{\alpha-1}(z)\right| \leqslant c_2\left(nc_2^2\mathfrak{C}^{2M}C^{-M}\right)^{\alpha-1}|z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{\mathfrak{Re}\,(in\xi_l z)}. \tag{7.35}$$

Let $z\in\mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta)$. Assume that (7.35) holds. From (7.32) we have

$$\left|\varphi^{\alpha+1}(z)-\varphi^\alpha(z)\right| \leqslant \sum_{k\neq l}R_k + R_l,$$

with

$$R_k = \int_{\infty e^{i\omega(l,k)}}^{z}|K_k(z,\zeta)|\left|\varphi^\alpha(\zeta)-\varphi^{\alpha-1}(\zeta)\right|\,|d\zeta|\,,$$
$$R_l = \int_{\infty i\bar{\xi}_l}^{z}|K_l(z,\zeta)|\left|\varphi^\alpha(\zeta)-\varphi^{\alpha-1}(\zeta)\right|\,|d\zeta|\,.$$

It follows from (7.33, 7.35) that R_k has bound

$$c_2^2\mathfrak{C}^{2M}\left(nc_2^2\mathfrak{C}^{2M}C^{-M}\right)^{\alpha-1}|z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{\mathfrak{Re}\,(in\xi_l z)}\int_{\infty e^{i\omega(l,k)}}^{z}|\zeta|^{-M-1}e^{\mathfrak{Re}\,(in(\xi_l-\xi_k)(\zeta-z))}\,|d\zeta|\,.$$

Since $\mathfrak{Re}\,((i\xi_l - i\xi_k)\zeta)$ is nondecreasing on the integral contour,

$$R_k \leqslant c_2^2\mathfrak{C}^{2M}\left(nc_2^2\mathfrak{C}^{2M}C^{-M}\right)^{\alpha-1}|z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{\mathfrak{Re}\,(in\xi_l z)}\int_{\infty e^{i\omega(l,k)}}^{z}|\zeta|^{-M-1}\,|d\zeta|\,,$$

and (7.34) further yields

$$R_k \leqslant c_2n^{\alpha-1}\left(c_2^2\mathfrak{C}^{2M}C^{-M}\right)^{\alpha}|z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{\mathfrak{Re}\,(in\xi_l z)}.$$

Similar arguments show that R_l has the same bound as R_k. Thus (7.35) is true with α replaced by $\alpha+1$.

Set the constant $C = c\mathfrak{C}^2$ such that $c^M \geqslant 2nc_2^2$. Then $nc_2^2\mathfrak{C}^{2M}C^{-M} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$, and therefore the series $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}(\varphi^\alpha(z)-\varphi^{\alpha-1}(z))$ absolutely and compactly converges. The limit function $\varphi_{(M),l}(z)$ satisfies (7.29) for all $z\in\mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta)$. More precisely,

$$\left|\varphi_{(M),l}(z)\right| \leqslant 2c_2|z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{\mathfrak{Re}\,(in\xi_l z)},\quad z\in\mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta). \tag{7.36}$$

Using a standard argument for successive approximations, it follows that $\varphi_{(M),l}$ satisfies the integral equation (7.31) and hence the differential system (7.28).

The proof of the error bound (7.30) is similar. Since $\varphi_{(M),l}(z)$ is a solution of the integral equation (7.31), one has

$$\left|\varphi_{(M),l}(z) - \widehat{\varphi}_{(M),l}(z)\right| \leqslant \sum_{k\neq l} S_k + S_l,$$

where

$$S_k = \int_{\infty e^{i\omega(l,k)}}^{z} |K_k(z,\zeta)| \left|\varphi_{(M),l}(\zeta)\right| |d\zeta|, \quad S_l = \int_{\infty i\bar{\xi}_l}^{z} |K_l(z,\zeta)| \left|\varphi_{(M),l}(\zeta)\right| |d\zeta|.$$

With the observation that $|\zeta| \geqslant \sin\vartheta \cdot |z|$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta)$ and ζ on the integral contours given above, we may replace (7.34) by the following

$$\int_{\infty i\bar{\xi}_l}^{z} |\zeta|^{-M-1} |d\zeta|, \ \int_{\infty e^{i\omega(l,k)}}^{z} |\zeta|^{-M-1} |d\zeta| \leqslant c_2 |z|^{-M}, \quad k \neq l, \tag{7.37}$$

whereas now c_2 also depends on ϑ.

The bounds (7.33, 7.36) of $K_k(z,\zeta)$ and $\varphi_{(M),l}(z)$ along with (7.37) yield

$$\begin{aligned} S_k &\leqslant 2c_2^2 \mathfrak{C}^{2M} |z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\Re\mathrm{e}\,(in\xi_l z)} \int_{\infty e^{i\omega(l,k)}}^{z} |\zeta|^{-M-1} e^{\Re\mathrm{e}\,(in(\xi_l-\xi_k)(\zeta-z))} |d\zeta| \\ &\leqslant 2c_2^2 \mathfrak{C}^{2M} |z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\Re\mathrm{e}\,(in\xi_l z)} \int_{\infty e^{i\omega(l,k)}}^{z} |\zeta|^{-M-1} |d\zeta| \\ &\leqslant 2c_2^3 \mathfrak{C}^{2M} |z|^{-M-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\Re\mathrm{e}\,(in\xi_l z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, the second inequality follows from the fact that $\Re\mathrm{e}\,((i\xi_l - i\xi_k)\zeta)$ is nondecreasing on the integral contour. Similarly, S_l has the same bound as S_k. Thus, (7.30) is proven and can be made precise as below

$$\left|\varphi_{(M),l}(z) - \widehat{\varphi}_{(M),l}(z)\right| \leqslant 2nc_2^3 \mathfrak{C}^{2M} |z|^{-M-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\Re\mathrm{e}\,(in\xi_l z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta). \tag{7.38}$$

7.4.3. *Conclusion.* Restricting to the sector $\mathbb{S}^{\pm}_{\xi_l} \cap \{z : |z| > C\} \subset \mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta)$, with $\mathbb{S}^{\pm}_{\xi_l}$ is replaced by $\mathbb{S}_{\xi_l}$ if $n = 2$, each $\varphi_{(M),l}$ has an asymptotic representation a multiple of $\widehat{\varphi}_k$ for some k according to Lemma 7.18 (1). Since $\Re\mathrm{e}\,(i\xi_l z) < \Re\mathrm{e}\,(i\xi_j z)$ for all $j \neq l$, the bounds (7.29,7.30) forces $k = l$. Therefore, for any positive integer M, $\varphi_{(M),l}$ is identical with the unique solution φ_l of the differential system (7.11) with asymptotic expansion $\widehat{\varphi}_l$ on $\mathbb{S}^{\pm}_{\xi_l}$ (see Lemma 7.18). Replacing $\varphi_{(M),l}$ by φ_l and absorbing the M-th term of $\widehat{\varphi}_{(M),l}$ into the error bound, we may reformulate (7.38) as the following error bound for φ_l

$$\left|\varphi_l(z) - \widehat{\varphi}_{(M-1),l}(z)\right| = O_{M,\vartheta,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M} |z|^{-M-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\Re\mathrm{e}\,(in\xi_l z)}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta). \tag{7.39}$$

Moreover, in view of the definition of the sector $\mathbb{S}'_{\xi_l}(\vartheta)$ given in (7.24), we have

$$\mathbb{S}'_{\xi_l}(\vartheta) \cap \left\{z : |z| > \frac{C}{\sin\vartheta}\right\} \subset \mathbb{D}_{\xi_l}(C;\vartheta). \tag{7.40}$$

Thus, the following theorem is finally established by (7.39) and (7.40).

THEOREM 7.25. *Let $\varsigma \in \{+,-\}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{X}_n(\varsigma)$, $0 < \vartheta < \frac{1}{2}\pi$, $\mathbb{S}'_\xi(\vartheta)$ be the sector defined as in* (7.24)*, and M be a positive integer. Then there exists a constant c, depending only on M, ϑ and n, such that*

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) = e^{in\xi z} z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) z^{-m} + O_{M,\vartheta,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M}|z|^{-M}\right) \right) \tag{7.41}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{S}'_\xi(\vartheta)$ such that $|z| > c\mathfrak{C}^2$. Similar asymptotic is valid for all the derivatives of $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$, where the implied constant of the error estimate is allowed to depend on the order of the derivative.

Finally, we remark that, since $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)z^{-m}$ is of size $O_{m,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2m}|z|^{-m}\right)$, the error bound in (7.41) is optimal, given that ϑ is fixed.

8. Connections between various types of Bessel functions

Recall from §5.4.1 that the asymptotic expansion in Theorem 5.11 remains valid for the H-Bessel function $H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ on the half-plane $\mathbb{H}^{\pm} = \{z : 0 \leqslant \pm\arg z \leqslant \pi\}$ (see (5.11)). With the observations that $H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ satisfies the Bessel equation of sign $(\pm)^n$, that the asymptotic expansions of $\sqrt{n}(\pm 2\pi i)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1)$ have exactly the same form and the same leading term due to Theorem 5.11 and Proposition 7.15, and that $\mathbb{S}_{\pm 1} = \left\{z : \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\right)\pi < \pm\arg z < \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right)\pi\right\} \subset \mathbb{H}^{\pm}$, Lemma 7.18 (2) implies the following theorem.

THEOREM 8.1. *We have*

$$H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\pm 2\pi i)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1),$$

and $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1) = (\pm i)^{-m} B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

REMARK 8.2. *The reader should observe that $\mathbb{S}_{\pm 1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+ = \emptyset$, so Theorem* 8.1 *can not be obtained using the asymptotic expansion of $H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ derived from Stirling's formula in Appendix* A (*see Remark* A.1).

REMARK 8.3. *$B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1)$ can only be obtained from certain recurrence relations in* §7.3.1 *from the differential equation aspect. On the other hand, using the stationary phase method,* (5.9) *in* §5.3 *yields an explicit formula for $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Thus, Theorem* 8.1 *indicates that the recurrence relations for $B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1)$ is actually solvable!*

As consequences of Theorem 8.1, we can establish the connections between various Bessel functions, that is, $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $J_l(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$. Recall that $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ has already been expressed in terms of $J_l(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ in Lemma 7.7.

8.1. Relations between $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$. $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is equal to a multiple of $H^{\pm}\left(e^{\pm\pi i\frac{n_{\mp}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{n}}z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right)$ in view of Lemma 7.10, whereas $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ is a multiple of $J(\pm\xi z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1)$ due to Lemma 7.22. Furthermore, the equality, up to constant, between $H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\pm 1)$ has just been built in Theorem 8.1. We then arrive at the following corollary.

COROLLARY 8.4. *Let* $L_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \{l : \varsigma_l = \pm\}$ *and* $n_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = |L_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})|$ *be as in Definition* 7.6. *Let* $c(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = e\left(\mp\frac{n-1}{8} \pm \frac{(n-1)n_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{4n} \mp \frac{1}{2}\sum_{l\in L_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}\lambda_l\right)$ *and* $\xi(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \mp e^{\mp\pi i\frac{n_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{n}}$. *Then*

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}c(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\sqrt{n}}J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})).$$

Here, it is understood that $\arg\xi(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \frac{n_-}{n}\pi = \pi - \frac{n_+}{n}\pi$.

Corollary 8.4 shows that $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ should really be categorized in the class of Bessel functions of the second kind. Moreover, the asymptotic behaviours of the Bessel functions $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are classified by their signatures $(n_+(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}), n_-(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}))$. Therefore, $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is *uniquely* determined by its signature up to a constant multiple.

8.2. Relations connecting the two kinds of Bessel functions. From Lemma 7.22 and Theorem 8.1, one sees that $J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi)$ is a constant multiple of $H^+(\xi z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. On the other hand, $H^+(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions of the first kind in view of Lemma 7.7. Finally, using Lemma 7.3, the following corollary is readily established.

COROLLARY 8.5. *Let* $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \{+,-\}$. *If* $\xi \in \mathbb{X}_n(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$, *then*

$$J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi) = \sqrt{n}\left(-\frac{\pi i\xi}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(i\bar{\xi}\right)^{n\lambda_l}S_l(\boldsymbol{\lambda})J_l(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}),$$

with $S_l(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \prod_{k\neq l}\sin\left(\pi(\lambda_l-\lambda_k)\right)^{-1}$. *According to our convention, we have* $(-i\xi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} = e^{\frac{n-1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\pi i + i\arg\xi\right)}$ *and* $\left(i\bar{\xi}\right)^{n\lambda_l} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi i n\lambda_l - in\lambda_l\arg\xi}$. *When* $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ *is not generic, the right hand side should be replaced by its limit.*

We now fix an integer a and let $\xi_j = e^{\pi i\frac{2j+a-2}{n}} \in \mathbb{X}_n\left((-)^a\right)$, with $j = 1,...,n$. It follows from Corollary 8.5 that

$$X(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sqrt{n}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{4}\pi i(n-1)}\cdot DV(\boldsymbol{\lambda})S(\boldsymbol{\lambda})E(\boldsymbol{\lambda})Y(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}),$$

with

$$X(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \left(J(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda};\xi_j)\right)_{j=1}^{n},\quad Y(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \left(J_l(z;(-)^a,\boldsymbol{\lambda})\right)_{l=1}^{n},$$
$$D = \operatorname{diag}\left(\xi_j^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)_{j=1}^{n},\quad E(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\pi i\left(\frac{1}{2}n-a\right)\lambda_l}\right)_{l=1}^{n},\quad S(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \operatorname{diag}\left(S_l(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\right)_{l=1}^{n},$$
$$V(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \left(e^{-2\pi i(j-1)\lambda_l}\right)_{j,l=1}^{n}.$$

Observe that $V(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is a *Vandermonde matrix*.

LEMMA 8.6. *For an n-tuple* $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1,...,x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ *we define the Vandermonde matrix* $V = \left(x_l^{j-1}\right)_{j,l=1}^{n}$. *For* $d = 0,1,...,n-1$ *and* $m = 1,...,n$, *let* $\sigma_{m,d}$ *denote the elementary symmetric polynomial in* $x_1,...,\widehat{x_m},...,x_n$ *of degree d, and let* $\tau_m = \prod_{k\neq m}(x_m - x_k)$. *If* $\boldsymbol{x}$ *is generic in the sense that all the components of* $\boldsymbol{x}$ *are distinct, then V is invertible, and furthermore, the inverse of V is* $\left((-)^{n-j}\sigma_{m,n-j}\tau_m^{-1}\right)_{m,\,j=1}^{n}$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 8.6. It is a well-known fact that V is invertible whenever $\boldsymbol{x}$ is generic. If one denotes by $w_{m,j}$ the (m, j)-th entry of V^{-1}, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{m,j} x_l^{j-1} = \delta_{m,l}.$$

The Lagrange interpolation formula implies the following identity of polynomials

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{m,j} x^{j-1} = \prod_{k \neq m} \frac{x - x_k}{x_m - x_k}.$$

Identifying the coefficient of x^{j-1} on both sides yields the desired formula of $w_{m,j}$. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 8.7. *Let a be a given integer. For $j = 1, ..., n$ define $\xi_j = e^{\pi i \frac{2j+a-2}{n}}$. For $d = 0, 1, ..., n-1$ and $l = 1, ..., n$, let $\sigma_{l,d}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ denote the elementary symmetric polynomial in $e^{-2\pi i\lambda_1}, ..., \widehat{e^{-2\pi i\lambda_l}}, ..., e^{-2\pi i\lambda_n}$ of degree d. Then*

$$J_l\big(z; (-)^a, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\big) = \frac{e^{\frac{3}{4}\pi i(n-1)}}{\sqrt{n}(2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} e^{\pi i\left(\frac{1}{2}n+a-2\right)\lambda_l} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-)^{n-j} \xi_j^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \sigma_{l,n-j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) J\left(z; \boldsymbol{\lambda}; \xi_j\right).$$

PROOF. Choosing $x_l = e^{-2\pi i\lambda_l}$ in Lemma 8.6, one sees that if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is generic then the matrix $V(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is invertible and its inverse is given by

$$\Big((-2i)^{1-n} \cdot (-)^{n-j} \sigma_{l,n-j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) e^{\pi i(n-2)\lambda_l} S_l(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\Big)_{l,\, j=1}^{n}.$$

Some straightforward calculations then complete the proof. Q.E.D.

REMARK 8.8. *In view of Proposition* 2.7, *Remark* 7.4 *and* 7.21, *when $n = 2$, Corollary* 8.5 *corresponds to the connection formulae* ([**Wat**, 3.61(5, 6), 3.7 (6)]),

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = \frac{J_{-\nu}(z) - e^{-\pi i\nu} J_\nu(z)}{i \sin(\pi\nu)}, \quad H_\nu^{(2)}(z) = \frac{e^{\pi i\nu} J_\nu(z) - J_{-\nu}(z)}{i \sin(\pi\nu)},$$

$$K_\nu(z) = \frac{\pi \left(I_{-\nu}(z) - I_\nu(z)\right)}{2 \sin(\pi\nu)}, \qquad \pi I_\nu(z) - i e^{\pi i\nu} K_\nu(z) = \frac{\pi i \left(e^{-\pi i\nu} I_\nu(z) - e^{\pi i\nu} I_{-\nu}(z)\right)}{2 \sin(\pi\nu)},$$

whereas Corollary 8.7, *with $a = 0$ or* 1, *amounts to the formulae* (*see* [**Wat**, 3.61(1, 2), 3.7 (6)])

$$J_\nu(z) = \frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(z) + H_\nu^{(2)}(z)}{2}, \qquad J_{-\nu}(z) = \frac{e^{\pi i\nu} H_\nu^{(1)}(z) + e^{-\pi i\nu} H_\nu^{(2)}(z)}{2},$$

$$I_\nu(z) = \frac{i e^{\pi i\nu} K_\nu + \left(\pi I_\nu(z) - i e^{\pi i\nu} K_\nu(z)\right)}{\pi}, \quad I_{-\nu}(z) = \frac{i e^{-\pi i\nu} K_\nu + \left(\pi I_\nu(z) - i e^{\pi i\nu} K_\nu(z)\right)}{\pi}.$$

9. H-Bessel functions and K-Bessel functions, II

In this concluding section, we apply Theorem 7.25 to improve the results in §5 on the asymptotics of Bessel functions $J(x; \boldsymbol{\varsigma}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and the Bessel kernel $J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}(\pm x)$ for $x \gg \mathfrak{C}^2$.

9.1. Asymptotic expansions of H-Bessel functions. The following proposition is a direct consequence of Theorem 7.25 and 8.1.

PROPOSITION 9.1. *Let $0 < \vartheta < \frac{1}{2}\pi$.*

(1). *Let M be a positive integer. We have*

$$H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\pm 2\pi i)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\pm inz} z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} (\pm i)^{-m} B_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) z^{-m} + O_{M,\vartheta,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M} |z|^{-M} \right) \right), \tag{9.1}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{S}'_{\pm 1}(\vartheta)$ such that $|z| \gg_{M,\vartheta,n} \mathfrak{C}^2$.

(2). *Define $W^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sqrt{n}(\pm 2\pi i)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\mp inz} H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Let $M-1 \geqslant j \geqslant 0$. We have*

$$W^{\pm,(j)}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = z^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(\sum_{m=j}^{M-1} (\pm i)^{j-m} B_{m,j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) z^{-m} + O_{M,\vartheta,j,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M-2j} |z|^{-M} \right) \right),$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{S}'_{\pm 1}(\vartheta)$ such that $|z| \gg_{M,\vartheta,n} \mathfrak{C}^2$.

Observe that

$$\mathbb{H}^{\pm} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leqslant \pm \arg z \leqslant \pi \}$$

$$\subset \mathbb{S}'_{\pm 1}(\vartheta) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{U} : -\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\right)\pi - \vartheta < \pm \arg z < \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right)\pi + \vartheta \right\}.$$

Fixing ϑ and restricting to the domain $\left\{ z \in \mathbb{H}^{\pm} : |z| \gg_{M,n} \mathfrak{C}^2 \right\}$, Proposition 9.1 improves Theorem 5.11.

9.2. Exponential decay of K-Bessel functions. Now suppose that $J(z;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is a K-Bessel function so that $0 < n_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) < n$. Since $\mathbb{R}_+ \subset \mathbb{S}'_{\xi(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}(\vartheta)$, Corollary 8.4 and Theorem 7.25 imply that $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, as well as all its derivatives, is not only a Schwartz function at infinity, which was shown in Theorem 5.6, but also a function of exponential decay on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

PROPOSITION 9.2. *If $J(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is a K-Bessel function, then for all $x \gg_n \mathfrak{C}^2$*

$$J^{(j)}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \ll_{j,n} x^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-\pi \Im\mathfrak{m}\, \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - nI(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})x},$$

where $\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mp \sum_{l \in L_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})} \lambda_l$ and $I(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \Im\mathfrak{m}\, \xi(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \sin\left(\frac{n_+(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})}{n}\pi\right) > 0$.

REMARK 9.3. *Given that $x \gg \mathfrak{C}^2$, $e^{-\pi \Im\mathfrak{m}\, \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda})}$ is negligible compared to $e^{nI(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})x}$. Thus, if one chooses a small positive constant ϵ, then for all $x \gg_{\epsilon,n} \mathfrak{C}^2$*

$$J^{(j)}(x;\boldsymbol{\varsigma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \ll_{j,\epsilon,n} e^{-(nI(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})-\epsilon)x}.$$

9.3. The asymptotic of the Bessel kernel $J_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\delta})}$. In comparison with Theorem 5.13, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 9.4. *Let notations be as in Theorem* 5.13*. Then, for $x \gg_n \mathfrak{C}^2$, we have*

$$W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\pm,(j)}(x) = \sum_{m=j}^{M-1} B_{m,j}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) x^{-m-\frac{n-1}{2}} + O_{M,j,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M} x^{-M-\frac{n-1}{2}} \right),$$

and

$$E^{\pm,(j)}_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\delta)}(x) = O_{j,n}\left(x^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\exp\left(\pi\left\lfloor\tfrac{1}{2}n\right\rfloor\Im - 2\pi n\sin\left(\tfrac{1}{n}\pi\right)x\right)\right),$$

with $\Im = \max\{|\Im\mathrm{m}\,\lambda_l|\}$.

Appendix A. An alternative approach to asymptotic expansions

When $n = 3$, the application of Stirling's asymptotic formula in deriving the asymptotic expansion of Hankel transforms was first found in [**Mil**, §4]. The asymptotic was later formulated more explicitly in [**Li**, Lemma 6.1], where the author attributed the arguments in her proof to [**Ivi**]. Furthermore, using similar ideas as in [**Mil**], [**Blo**] simplified the proof of [**Li**, Lemma 6.1] (see the proof of [**Blo**, Lemma 6]). This method using Stirling's asymptotic formula is however the only known approach so far in the literature.

Closely following [**Blo**], we shall prove the asymptotic expansions of H-Bessel functions $H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ of any rank n by means of Stirling's asymptotic formula.

From the definitions (2.5, 2.3) we have

$$H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{n}\Gamma(s-\lambda_l)\right)e\left(\pm\frac{ns}{4}\right)x^{-ns}ds. \tag{A.1}$$

In view of the condition $\sum_{l=1}^{n}\lambda_l = 0$, Stirling's asymptotic formula yields

$$\prod_{l=1}^{n}\Gamma(s-\lambda_l) = \Gamma\left(ns-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)n^{-ns}\exp\left(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1}C_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda})s^{-m}\right)(1+R_M(s))$$

for some constants $C_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and remainder term $R_M(s) = O_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},M,n}\left(|s|^{-M}\right)$. Using the Taylor expansion for the exponential function and some straightforward algebraic manipulations, the right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{m=0}^{M-1}\widetilde{C}_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\Gamma\left(ns-\frac{n-1}{2}-m\right)n^{-ns}\left(1+\widetilde{R}_M(s)\right)$$

for certain constants $\widetilde{C}_m(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and a similar function $\widetilde{R}_M(s) = O_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},M,n}\left(|s|^{-M}\right)$. Suitably choosing the contour $\mathcal{C}$, it follows from (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\Gamma\left(ns-\frac{n-1}{2}-m\right)e\left(\pm\frac{ns}{4}\right)(nx)^{-ns}ds\\
&= \frac{e\left(\pm\left(\frac{n-1}{8}+\frac{1}{4}m\right)\right)}{n(nx)^{\frac{n-1}{2}+m}}\cdot\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{n\mathcal{C}-\frac{n-1}{2}-m}\Gamma(s)e\left(\pm\frac{s}{4}\right)(nx)^{-s}ds\\
&= \frac{e\left(\pm\left(\frac{n-1}{8}+\frac{1}{4}m\right)\right)}{n(nx)^{\frac{n-1}{2}+m}}\cdot e^{\pm inx} = n^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-m}(\pm i)^{\frac{n-1}{2}+m}\cdot e^{\pm inx}x^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-m}.
\end{aligned}$$

As for the error estimate, assume $x \geqslant 1$. Insert the part containing $\widetilde{R}_M(s)$ into (A.1), shift the contour to the vertical line of real part $\frac{1}{n}(M-1-\epsilon)+\frac{1}{2}$, with $\epsilon > 0$, so that the integral remains absolutely convergent, then Stirling's formula implies that the error term

is $O_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},M,\epsilon,n}\left(x^{-M+\frac{n}{2}-1-\epsilon}\right)$. Absorbing several main terms into the error, we arrive at the following asymptotic expansion

$$H^{\pm}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = e^{\pm inx}x^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1}C_m^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})x^{-m} + O_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},M,n}\left(x^{-M}\right)\right), \quad x \geqslant 1, \tag{A.2}$$

where $C_m^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is some constant depending on $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

Remark A.1. *We have the Barnes type integral representation of $H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ as in Remark* 7.11. *This however does not yield an asymptotic expansion of $H^{\pm}(z;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ along with the above method, since $|z^{-ns}|$ is unbounded on the integral contour if $|z| > 1$.*

Finally, we make some comparisons between the three asymptotic expansions (A.2), (5.11) and (9.1) obtained from

- Stirling's asymptotic formula,
- the method of stationary phase,
- the asymptotic method of ordinary differential equations.

Recall that $\mathfrak{C} = \max\{|\lambda_l|\} + 1$, $\mathfrak{R} = \max\{|\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_l|\}$ and $0 < \vartheta < \frac{1}{2}\pi$. Firstly, the effective domains of these asymptotic expansions are

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : x \geqslant 1\},$$
$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \geqslant \mathfrak{C},\ 0 \leqslant \pm\arg z \leqslant \pi\},$$
$$\left\{z \in \mathbb{U} : |z| \gg_{M,\vartheta,n} \mathfrak{C}^2,\ -\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}\right)\pi - \vartheta < \pm\arg z < \left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{n}\right)\pi + \vartheta\right\},$$

respectively. The range of argument is extending while that of modulus is reducing. Secondly, the error estimates are

$$O_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},M,\epsilon,n}\left(x^{-M-\frac{n-1}{2}}\right),\ O_{\mathfrak{R},M,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M}|z|^{-M}\right),\ O_{M,\vartheta,n}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{2M}|z|^{-M-\frac{n-1}{2}}\right),$$

respectively. The dependence of the implied constant in the error estimate on $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is improving in all aspects.

References

[Blo] Valentin Blomer. Subconvexity for twisted L-functions on GL(3). *Amer. J. Math.*, 134(5):1385–1421, 2012.

[CL] Earl A. Coddington and Norman Levinson. *Theory of ordinary differential equations*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1955.

[GR] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik. *Table of integrals, series, and products*. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, seventh edition, 2007.

[HM] Gergely Harcos and Philippe Michel. The subconvexity problem for Rankin-Selberg L-functions and equidistribution of Heegner points. II. *Invent. Math.*, 163(3):581–655, 2006.

[Hör] Lars Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I*, volume 256 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[IT] Atsushi Ichino and Nicolas Templier. On the Voronoï formula for GL(n). *Amer. J. Math.*, 135(1):65–101, 2013.

[Ivi] Aleksandar Ivić. On the ternary additive divisor problem and the sixth moment of the zeta-function. In *Sieve methods, exponential sums, and their applications in number theory (Cardiff, 1995)*, volume 237 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 205–243. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[Li] Xiaoqing Li. The central value of the Rankin-Selberg L-functions. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 18(5):1660–1695, 2009.

[Mil] Stephen D. Miller. Cancellation in additively twisted sums on $\mathrm{GL}(n)$. *Amer. J. Math.*, 128(3):699–729, 2006.

[MS1] Stephen D. Miller and Wilfried Schmid. Distributions and analytic continuation of Dirichlet series. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 214(1):155–220, 2004.

[MS2] Stephen D. Miller and Wilfried Schmid. Summation formulas, from Poisson and Voronoi to the present. In *Noncommutative harmonic analysis*, volume 220 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 419–440. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.

[MS3] Stephen D. Miller and Wilfried Schmid. Automorphic distributions, L-functions, and Voronoi summation for $\mathrm{GL}(3)$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 164(2):423–488, 2006.

[MS4] Stephen D. Miller and Wilfried Schmid. A general Voronoi summation formula for $GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$. In *Geometry and analysis. No. 2*, volume 18 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 173–224. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.

[Olv] Frank W. J. Olver. *Asymptotics and special functions*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1974. Computer Science and Applied Mathematics.

[Qi1] Zhi Qi. Theory of Bessel functions of high rank - II: Hankel transforms and fundamental Bessel kernels. *preprint*, arXiv:1411.6710, 2014.

[Qi2] Zhi Qi. *Theory of Bessel functions of high rank*. Ph.D. Thesis. The Ohio State University, 2015.

[Was] Wolfgang Wasow. *Asymptotic expansions for ordinary differential equations*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XIV. Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1965.

[Wat] G. N. Watson. *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England; The Macmillan Company, New York, 1944.

[WW] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson. *A course of modern analysis*. Fourth edition. Reprinted. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1962.

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, 100 Math Tower, 231 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

E-mail address: qi.91@buckeyemail.osu.edu